


Spearhead

£1.50

No. 377 JULY 2000

ISSN 1364-7105



WHAT IS WRONG WITH BRITAIN?

A society
which tries to
turn young men
into pansies
will be hit by
the backlash.
See *Spearhead*
analysis of the
football trouble
in Belgium
(Page 4)

WHAT WE THINK

Clause 28: Scottish Executive fails test

Spearhead does not share the politics of Stagecoach chief Brian Souter (he says he is a socialist and SNP supporter) but we must congratulate him on his initiative in organising the private referendum in Scotland on Clause 28 (the rule forbidding homosexual propaganda in schools which the Government so badly wants to repeal). Souter, despite his political affiliations, is strongly against repeal, and the referendum delivered a resounding victory for him in the way of an 86.8 per cent majority in his favour — in other words, for the retention of the Clause. This is almost certainly an indicator of opinion, not just in Scotland, but throughout the United Kingdom.

But Mr. Souter's referendum did Britain another favour — probably without any intention on his part. It exposed how hopelessly out of touch the majority in the new Scottish Executive and Assembly are with the feelings of the Scottish people. Assembly reaction to the referendum result was dismissive, when

Nationalist comment



Scotland: overwhelming vote for Clause 28. Brian Souter poses triumphantly after poll. Assembly in Edinburgh was dismissive of result.

not positively hostile. One opponent called it 'chequebook democracy' — as if any kind of referendum these days is otherwise; in all such polls money plays an important part in influencing how people vote. Executive member Wendy Alexander, prime mover behind the campaign in Scotland to abolish the Clause, said that the result would make no difference to her and supporters' resolve to go ahead with getting it scrapped — although as a crumb thrown to the pro-Clause lobby it was conceded that an amendment to the abolition motion might be introduced stressing the im-

portance of marriage.

Since 'gay' marriages are now well on the way to being legalised (there are already services of 'blessings' in churches for same-sex couples) such a rider would not be worth the paper it was written on. Schoolchildren would still be deluged with queer propaganda with or without it.

Undoubtedly, majorities on the Labour and SNP-dominated Scottish Executive and Assembly are still in favour of the abolition of Clause 28, and will still press ahead towards that objective. This just exposes the farcical nature of these two bodies, whose advocates campaigned for them on the grounds that they would be more responsive to the wishes of the Scottish people than a government and parliament in London. The reaction on those bodies to this massive endorsement of the Clause shows that they may as well be comprised of Martians and sitting in outer space.

Stop press: Just before this copy was sent to the printer it was announced that the Scottish Assembly had voted in a majority of 99 to 17 for abolition of Clause 28. Further comment is superfluous.

Oxford and discrimination

The issue of the working class girl denied admission to Oxford demonstrates, as a number of media commentators have remarked, that behind the glitzy facade of 'New Labour' much of the mentality of Old Labour prevails. Chancellor Gordon Brown's assertion that the University's Magdalen College discriminated against Laura Spence because of her family background is such downright rubbish that it is hard to believe that a reasonably intelligent man like Brown did not know it to be so. Much more likely is it

Spearhead

No. 377 JULY 2000
c/o PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW
Editor: John Tyndall

Spearhead exists to reflect a cross-section of contemporary British Nationalist opinion. It is privately published by its editor and is independent of all political parties and groups.

Unless it is specifically stated otherwise, the views expressed in signed articles or letters in *Spearhead* are the sole responsibility of their writers and should not be taken as representing the views of the Editor or the policies of any political organisation that *Spearhead* may support editorially.

Spearhead welcomes enquiries from prospective advertisers, to whom rates will be sent on request. Advertising matter, accompanied by pre-payment, must be submitted at least one month prior to the publishing date (normally the first day of the month) of the issue for which the advertisement is intended. The Editor reserves the right to refuse, without giving reasons, to publish any advertisement submitted. The appearance of an advertisement in *Spearhead* does not necessarily indicate that the Editor has any knowledge of, interest in or support for the product, service, organisation or function being advertised.

The Editor is pleased to receive from readers manuscripts for articles for possible publication. The most useful lengths are of around 1,000 to 1,850 words. *Spearhead* is currently produced using Serif PagePlus 6 software. Articles sent on 3.5" computer disk will be welcome if compatible. To ensure acceptance, however, they should also be sent in printed or typewritten format. No payment is made for articles published, which become *Spearhead* copyright unless the writers specifically request otherwise. The Editor reserves the right to shorten or otherwise amend articles submitted for publication.

Those wishing to reprint *Spearhead* articles in other publications should ensure when doing so to give public acknowledgement to both the writer and *Spearhead* and to include in the acknowledgement the magazine's full address.

that the Chancellor, as a calculated move in his campaign eventually to succeed Tony Blair as party leader, was quite cynically pitching his appeal at the 'Arthur Grudge' tendency in the party from where he hopes to recruit most of his support once the Blair bubble is burst.

So do colleges at Oxford discriminate when it comes to offering places? Very likely they do, but not on grounds of class as Mr. Brown has suggested. It is interesting to hear that of the five places granted by Magdalen three went to members of ethnic minorities — sixty per cent! Now that is a very high portion considering the actual ethnic minority numbers among the population. We know that there are some quite bright Asian students but it is difficult to imagine that this factor alone accounted for Magdalen's choice.

So in all probability Mr. Brown, when he made his outburst about discrimination, was shooting at the wrong target. Most likely, he knows this, but it would have been more than his career was worth to suggest that *race*, rather than class, was the yardstick of selection.

For her own part, it is much to young Miss Spence's credit that she stated that she disliked being used as a pawn in the political game. Damned right too! For it was for this reason — and not for reasons of any concern for her — that this squalid little piece of class-war politics was played out in front of the country.

Britain's cultural enslavement

Ever since Hollywood, decades ago, depicted American GI's led by Errol Flynn chasing the Japs out of Burma, British cinema-goers and TV movie-watchers have had to put up with distortions of history — particularly war history — which have underplayed British achievement. The latest example of this is the film *U-571*, which credits American submariners with the feat of obtaining the German Enigma code — contrary to all historical fact, which is that this was a British effort.

Predictably, British film critics and many others have railed at this piece of 'revisionism'. But in fact we have very little sympathy with that kind of protest.

The people we should be getting at are not the Hollywood film producers who — for commercial rather than nationalistic reasons — are always making it look as if America won the war on its own. The true culprits are those in Britain who have allowed our cinema and TV screens to be so totally dominated by American products. In the film and TV world, Britain has become an American cultural colony, and we are paying the price. Nowadays there are scarcely any real British movies; even those set in Britain and employing British casts are mainly financed by American money. Governments are to blame for this for continually adhering to the idea that film products should be subject to the conditions of the 'free market'. In fact, films, of whatever nationality, are a vital national

resource. They should be used primarily with that consideration in mind, rather than just a source of profit for their makers. Some nations understand this and protect their own markets for cinematic products. Britain fails consistently to understand it, and the result is that our enormous home-grown talent in the film business is almost never used to national advantage. It is a disgrace, but there seems little likelihood that in the foreseeable future things are going to change.

Hurrah for the anti-hero!

At the end of May the National Theatre staged *Albert Speer*, a production based on the life of Hitler's architect and munitions minister, taken from a biography by Gitta Sereny. Critics were most unhappy that the play, where the Führer made an appearance in it, depicted him as 'too human'. Apparently, they would have been more satisfied had he been shown regularly biting carpets and foaming at the mouth.

The way the 'liberal élite' has treated Speer has always been interesting. Among leading members of the wartime German Government he has always been depicted as the 'good guy' — not exactly blameless but at least sufficiently endowed with a conscience to have recanted for his past activities, whereas his colleagues for the most part refused to do so and went to the gallows or life imprisonment completely unrepentant — like Rudolf Hess.

In fact Speer, though undeniably talented, was no more than an opportunist. When Hitler's bandwagon was rolling, that was the thing to get onto and Speer did so quite shamelessly. Once Hitler had been defeated, it became a different bandwagon; and Speer sang to a different songsheet. He went crawling to the Allied powers full of *mea culpas*, while at the same time being ready to attack his former associates. For this he earned himself remission from his prison sentence and got released in 1966.

Thus did Speer become a person the 'liberal élite' could understand and with whom they could feel a qualified empathy. He was 'smart'. He gave top priority to looking after number one and saying and doing what was necessary to that end. In other words, he showed himself to be "one of them." This is the way rational and sensible people behave in the liberal scheme of values. It is the way they would have behaved had they been in Speer's place.

Thus do the likes of Speer obtain — narrowly — a ticket to the liberal Valhalla, whereas those with the manhood to stick by their faith and principles (even if they were the wrong ones) are consigned to the fires of hell.

Weapons globalisation

Politicians frequently tell us that it is necessary for British armed forces to buy internationally-produced military hardware in

order to cut down on costs. Now in a report published by the National Audit Office this theory has been demolished. According to the report, multi-national arms equipment programmes take almost 40 per cent longer to deliver and cost almost twice those that could be obtained from British sources.

The report says that, upon examination of Ministry of Defence documents, it was found that ministers had ignored the recommendations of arms experts on this matter because of 'political factors'. In several cases those ministers had decided to buy multi-national or pan-European weapons even though they were more expensive and less effective than the best on offer.

Of course, there should be no mystery about these 'political factors'. To recent British governments, whether Tory or Labour, internationalism has always taken priority over the security of the realm. A defence policy involving the international pooling of weapons supplies must by definition be 'good', while British self-sufficiency is 'narrow', 'jingoistic' and therefore 'old hat'.

Tony's business — apparently

According to the *Jewish Chronicle* of June 2nd, Prime Minister Blair and Foreign Secretary Robin Cook "are to express official displeasure to Teheran over an article in an Iranian newspaper which called the Holocaust part of a 'Zionist' propaganda ploy."

Now this really is interesting. It is not the place here to debate whether what was printed in said Iranian newspaper was correct or not; on such a matter there will, of course, be diverse opinions. What is much more pertinent is the question of what a British prime minister is doing complaining to the Iranian Government over what, to any sane and rational observer, just has to be an Iranian internal affair.

Does Tony, with all the mountainous problems he has to deal with at home, really have time for such nose-poking? Apparently he does. This little story — though not, so far as we know, published in the mainstream press — should give people some idea of the order of priorities that prevails at Downing Street.

Sighting as yet unconfirmed

Remember the landing at Stanstead of the airliner hi-jacked in Afghanistan and full of Afghan 'refugees'? Well, we have received word that another such plane has recently touched down in the UK — probably at the same airport, and that a fresh bunch of these 'refugees' are being housed — at taxpayers' expense, of course — in the former officers' quarters of an abandoned MoD testing range at Shoeburyness, Essex. We have seen nothing of this in the papers but it came to us from a certain local source. It looks like the Government would rather have it hushed up. Not exactly a surprise!

WHAT IS WRONG WITH BRITAIN?

Time to look afresh at the football hooligan phenomenon

Last month Britain – actually we should say England because Scots, Welsh and Northern Irish were not involved – cringed in shame at the disgusting behaviour of hundreds of morons in Belgium on the occasion of the Euro 2000 football competition there. But are we any nearer to identifying the full nature of the problem that lies at the bottom of these antics? We hear talk of better police supervision. Some blame everything on booze. We get pious speeches from politicians about the ‘dangers’ of nationalism. But these protestations merely scratch at the surface. We should be looking at the deeper causes.

Lambasting nationalism is no solution. On the contrary, *lack of national pride* is a big factor leading to people acting like uncontrolled oafs abroad. The true nationalist would never let his country down in this way.

Lack of discipline in schools is certainly part of the sickness, but with a ‘liberal’ atmosphere prevailing almost everywhere throughout our world of education, there should be no sur-

prise at this outcome. But there is more to this.

It is generally acknowledged that 21st century Britain has become a deeply feminised society. Education, the media and even government in Tony Blair’s ‘Cool Britannia’ are at war with manhood on every front. Our present culture encourages men to look, act and think in unmanly ways. This is bound to create a backlash.

The male species is naturally aggressive. Healthy societies accept this and find useful, constructive and social outlets for this aggression. They encourage hard sports. They foster military virtues and, for young males, they provide compulsory military service. They look disapprovingly on homosexuality.

When society departs from these principles, some of its young men are going to rebel – and look for ways of asserting their masculinity, sometimes in stupid, anarchic and anti-social forms. Football hooliganism is one of these.

We will be rid of this plague only when we encourage *manhood* in a civilised form.



These morons taunting German football fans (the one in the centre giving a Hitler salute) are typical products of an education system which breed stupidity, eschews discipline, fails to inculcate proper national pride and tries to make men into girls.

The things they're saying

Looking at the Treasury Bench and the Opposition Front Bench these days is an exercise reminiscent of the end of *Animal Farm*. These people look, sound and dress the same in a general poverty of thought. The vigour of the rhetoric masks a basic similarity and a common banality. Alastair Darling's Scots tones merge with David Willett's Edgbaston ones as they debate a Tory pension reform whose impact would be neutral.

HYWEL WILLIAMS
The Observer (28.5.00)

The hooliganism in Belgium last weekend (June 17-18) is not the face of English football but of a sick English society. It is just one of the many symptoms of the disease.

This same illness manifests itself every Friday and Saturday night in every village, town and city throughout the land when loutish, aggressive, foul-mouthed and violent yobs run amok. As David Thomas (*Mail*) says: "Young Britons are the most drunken, drug-taking, promiscuous, criminal, fattest and least-educated in Europe. Can we expect them to act differently when they go to a football match?"

MIKE WALKER
Letter in Daily Mail (21.6.00)

"The Commission for Racial Equality works to make sure that all races in Britain get equal treatment. Not better. Not worse. The same. Equals." So, in strikingly verbless Blairite language, pronounces the chairman of the Commission, Gurbux Singh.

It is right that everybody in Britain should have equal treatment under the law. For that, no Commission for Racial Equality is needed. All men are equal in the sight of God. But they are not and cannot be equal in the sight of men. The claim for absolute racial equality on which the race relations industry depends is founded on a fallacy.

As everyone knows, though few may care to say it, the different races of all the world are not equal in all respects. Where are the Japanese Olympic long-jump champions, the Zulu astrophysicists?

If you were setting out to recruit an army of mercenaries, you would choose Germans rather than Filipinos. If you were looking for brilliant chess players you would enquire among Jews rather than among Australian Aborigines.

PETER SIMPLE
Daily Telegraph (26.5.00)

Blair doesn't give a stuff what the people think about anything.

His only consideration is how he can get away with it.

On the Pound, on Europe, on asylum, on

EXTRACTS FROM THE MAINSTREAM MEDIA

crime, on gay propaganda in schools, on the Dome, on pensions, on the price of petrol, you name it, New Labour is on another planet.

What they are working out now is how many lies, how many cheap stunts it will take them to get through the next election...

This bunch despise the people they claim to represent.

RICHARD LITTLEJOHN
The Sun (30.5.00)

The worst thing about the liberal élite is that they have such a high opinion of themselves. They think they are the way, the truth and the life. They believe they are so enlightened and so civilised that opposition must, by definition, be wrong.

"We are wonderful" they proclaim to their critics, "so you must be mistaken and probably wicked, as well."

This self-belief is the root of the strange paradox by which a group of people who still think of themselves as anti-establishment rebels are often among the most intolerant of authoritarians, contemptuous of mass opinion and petulantly dismissive of those who get in their way. That is why the slightly American phrase 'liberal élite' fits awkwardly into the British political lexicon. Liberals are supposed to be gentle and herbivorous, not arrogant, bullying and exclusive...

They had the chance to begin the world over again and they made the most enormous mess. Post-Wilson Britain has been a disaster. It has schools that cannot teach, a health service that doesn't work and public transport that is a disgrace, epidemic family breakdown and drug-abuse. All of us are affected by a decline in manners, the loss of an agreed moral code, the spread of ugliness.

PETER HITCHENS
The Observer (11.6.00)

The nail bombs in Soho, Brick Lane and Brixton last year were the work of a lone nutter.

David Copeland has pleaded guilty to manslaughter and is on trial for murder.

But at the time we were asked to believe that the bombings were part of a massive conspiracy.

The attacks were the work of Combat 18, or Sham 69, or Rover 75, or countless other shady groups with alleged links to the UVF, the Boers and the Waffen SS.

This proved that British society was fundamentally racist and homophobic because it allowed this kind of evil act to happen.

Politicians and self-appointed campaign-

ers queued up to demand tough new laws. Some people even managed to blame Clause 28 and said opponents of gay propaganda in schools were no better than the nail-bomber.

They shamelessly exploited the atrocities to further their own political agenda...

Now that we know Copeland acted alone, have we heard a word of apology?

Have we hell!

The latest shocker in Hollywood's campaign against the English is *The Patriot*, a film set during the American War of Independence against Britain....

The campaign is anti-English because it is driven by political correctness in which imperialists are automatically baddies by definition. The English, who ran the biggest empire in world history, are therefore the worst of all.

It is easy to see why anti-English films are part of Hollywood's commercial stock-in-trade. In Hollywood's Golden Age, it was the reverse. Stars tried to talk like West End actors. Would-be stars were forced to change their Continental names to Anglo surnames...

In those days, there was no shortage of baddies drawn from what Kipling called 'lesser breeds without the law' — Arabs, slitty-eyed Chinese, Red Indians and 'bad' real Indians, cruel Siamese and African savages.

Other villains were guttural Germans, sly Russians, sadistic Spaniards, Levantine 'gentlemen' and, if needs be, crafty and bloodthirsty French.

Now, for a variety of PC reasons, all these baddies are forbidden. Making a movie in which the chief villain is non-white, or even just dark (or homosexual), is regarded as professional suicide. Indeed, the English are about the only race left who can be portrayed behaving disgustingly without raising any objection from the PC censors.

PAUL JOHNSON
Daily Mail (20.6.00)

Many schools have entirely given up the task of character education, setting great numbers of children adrift without direction. Under the current *laissez-faire* policy, our schools are harbouring a great many inadequately socialised children. But leaving children to discover their own values is a little like putting them in a chemistry lab full of volatile substances and saying: "Discover your own compounds, kids."

We should not be surprised when some blow themselves up and destroy those around them.

CHRISTINA HOFF SOMMERS
Sunday Times News Review (18.6.00)

Ban them!

(in the name of freedom)

"THE OLDEST ARGUMENT facing any democratic system is whether there is any event that justifies a refusal to accept the democratic will. Hitler, after all, was initially elected. The post-war liberal consensus, led by philosophers like Karl Popper and Isaiah Berlin, was that Hitler's election can and should have been contested. No democracy can accept a party or leader that seeks to remove the freedoms and wider culture upon which it rests."

Thus began a leader in *The Observer* on the 6th February, curiously headed 'Countering the threat of fascism'. The 'event' which sparked the leader off was the electoral success of Jörg Haider's Freedom Party in Austria and the admission of some of its members into the Austrian Government. The leader writer then went on to give a quite breathtaking exhibition of moral and intellectual gymnastics in his efforts to brand this admission as neither legitimate nor acceptable.

By joining the right-of-centre Austrian coalition, the leader continued, the Freedom Party...

"... raises this contentious issue for the first time since the war. The Freedom Party is not a fascist party; its economic programme is quasi-Thatcherite. But its wider attitudes are profoundly racist, with Haider prepared to strike attitudes that he and his audiences know will amount to a validation of a Nazi approach to immigrants and non-German speakers in Austria. The Freedom Party sees them as genetically programmed to be thieves or to breed excessively – and thus at the root of crime and social dislocation. It opposes EU enlargement because it will open up the EU to racial undesirables."

And the leader continued:-

"The party boasts that it traces its roots to German romanticism and is therefore innocent of fascist leanings, but as Isaiah Berlin observed more than 40 years ago, romanticism was the cultural foundation for Nazi ideas of German exceptionalism and racial superiority. Put bluntly, the EU now contains a governing party part of whose belief system

is that humanity can be divided into good and bad categories on the basis of their genes. This is a fundamental challenge to every precept in European law and human rights."

Well, that is certainly the first I have ever heard of Herr Haider's party claiming that immigrants and non-German speakers in Austria are genetically programmed to be thieves or to breed excessively. Somehow one gets the feeling that that idea germinated in the mind of some *Observer* journalist – possibly the paper's leader writer. It really is a crude and clumsy misrepresentation of racial attitudes, but we can leave the point aside because it is not central to the issue – anymore than is the claim that the same people are at the root of crime and social dislocation (even if some of them are). What is central to the issue here is the right of the Freedom Party to say what it thinks fit and to advocate doing what it thinks fit to deal with the problems of Austria, even if outsiders – and indeed even some Austrians themselves – think its ideas are a thousand times wrong. But such is the mindset into which *Observer* liberals have worked themselves this right simply is not recognised in their scheme of things.

Not good or bad but different

Just the same may be said of the claim that the Freedom Party in Austria divides humanity into "good and bad categories on the basis of their genes." Again, this is a blatant misrepresentation of the racial point of view, which holds that the various racial components of humanity are fundamentally and inherently *different* – without references to "good and bad." Even supposing that the "good and bad" allegation were factually correct, this would not justify treating the Freedom Party differently to any other, assuming that the leader writer of *The Observer* genuinely believes in the principles of democracy always loudly trumpeted by his paper.



JOHN TYNDALL looks at some of the ludicrous contradictions of the liberal mind

But of course neither the leader writer of *The Observer* nor the great majority of his co-writers on that paper, nor their counterparts who write in *The Guardian* and the *Independent* newspapers, nor indeed a great many of the *Observer/Independent/Guardian*-reading classes who imbibe their political milk from these papers, really believe in anything remotely approximating to democracy as the average man or woman understands it. To these people, 'democracy' is just a slogan, to be used as convenience requires – one moment as a soporific to lull the masses to sleep, the next as a kind of 'code' denoting a certain set of values and thought-forms identified with liberalism, globalism, multi-racialism, the 'New World Order' and everything else thoroughly and impeccably politically correct – or, to put it another way, the ideological utopia which the Islington elite is busy preparing for us and which we are going to be forced to accept, whether we like it or not. When liberals talk of 'democracy' we can almost see them winking at each other, knowing that the term means something wholly different depending on the audience targeted.

But it is time to go back to the *Observer* leader and read on what it has to say about those recalcitrant Austrians and what should be done about them:-

"What should the reaction of the rest of the EU be? Last weekend, Austria's President Klestil personally approached the other EU heads of government saying that only foreign intervention could head off the Freedom Party's participation in government. The EU Council of Ministers responded by promising to reduce diplomatic contact with Austria to a technical minimum. The European Commission tried to soften the blow, insisting that working relations with Austria would continue. But the thrust of policy is clear, even if it did nothing to prevent the Freedom Party joining government."

But wait, there's more. The *Observer* leader writer anticipates the objections

likely to be made to his arguments, and he has a reply to them, saying:-

"British Eurosceptics have reacted typically, condemning the EU's action as an intolerable intervention into the affairs of a member state. More level-headed opinion is worried that the action might be counter-productive, winning outrage and sympathy for Haider and so strengthen his position. In any case, he has done nothing to contravene any EU treaty so far.

"We disagree. The case against any pre-emptive action is always that it might make matters worse; doing something always hazards a raising of the stakes. But is doing nothing an honourable or even politically viable option? It is completely legitimate for the EU, given the EU's constitutional requirement for unanimity on key issues, to express an opinion about working with a government whose values challenge a core principle of the European Convention on Human Rights and EU treaties. Haider's refusal to withdraw his statements only validates the EU stance still more. He and his party fall beyond the pale. It may be that Haider is strengthened by the EU's reaction and Austria eventually leaves the EU. So be it. Austrians may choose to be led by neo-Nazi racists, but the rest of us do not have to connive in their choice.

"This is one of the EU's nobler moments. It deserves our wholehearted backing."

The arrogance of this statement is almost unbelievable – except perhaps to those who regularly study papers like *The Observer* and become acquainted with the world of self-righteous 'liberal' dogma in which its writers dwell. But in addition to arrogance what we can also see is the liberal obsession with 'gesture' politics. What this leader is saying is that, even if EU intervention in Austria's affairs accomplishes nothing – indeed even if it is actually counter-productive – it is still justified. Why? Because a 'moral' point has to be made! The Austrians must be chastised – otherwise they may fall victim to the outrageous idea that what happens in their country is their business alone. Perish such a thought!

Hypocrisy

But behind this gesturing and posturing the stench of hypocrisy becomes overpowering. *Observer* liberals would not dare suggest that Israeli treatment of Palestinian Arabs is anything other than strictly the business of the Israelis. And since these pompous words were written about Austria the world has learned of white farmers in 'Zimbabwe' (formerly Rhodesia) who have had their property raided and occupied and their womenfolk raped and who in some cases have themselves been murdered while their government, far from taking action to stop these crimes, has actually condoned them. We are still waiting for *Observer* editorials to inform us that intervention in the internal affairs of 'Zimbabwe' is an imperative justified in the name of 'human

rights'. It is a mere detail that 'Zimbabwe' is not a member of the European Union and not subject to its rules; neither is Serbia, but bombing her in order to prevent 'ethnic cleansing' within her borders was never questioned in the columns of this nor other newspapers of its type. Is diplomatic isolation seen as a first step to the aiming of missiles at Vienna?



HAIDER

***Observer* liberals simply cannot accept that his party has a mandate from the Austrian people.**

But more fundamental to this issue is the broader assumption that the democratic will of peoples as expressed through the ballot box may be ignored, not to say violated, at any time the liberal élite deems it necessary. The justification has been plainly spelled out. Berlin and Popper have been quoted approvingly as saying that the ascent to power by Hitler, notwithstanding that it was by popular mandate, should have been 'contested'. This is a constant argument put forward by people of the bolshevik tendency to justify suppression of political groups of whom they disapprove, the British National Party for one. Popper and Berlin, while critical of strict Stalinist orthodoxy, were very firmly of the far-left persuasion; and so it is no surprise to learn that they are listed among the ranks of the self-elected arbiters of who may accorded democratic rights and who not. Neither is it any surprise that the leader writer of *The Observer* sees nothing wrong in drinking from the well of far-left doctrine to justify his attitudes; papers like his have long been vehicles for bolshevik ideology masquerading as 'moderate' opinion.

Let us briefly recapitulate the *Observer* argument (which may broadly be described as the standard argument of liberals as a whole today in response to what they see as the threat from the so-called 'far right'). The

argument runs something like this: the far right, if it gained political power, would set up a totalitarian dictatorship in which opposition would be suppressed and the rights of dissenters destroyed. Therefore the far right cannot complain if its own methods are used against it: good liberals and democrats should pre-empt such a seizure of absolute power and themselves suppress their anticipated suppressors who, if the situation were reversed, would suppress them. Such practices would be perfectly permissible to ensure that 'democracy' is preserved.

Isn't it all nice and neat? Shoot the other fellow before he shoots you! Here we have 'liberals', whose whole creed is supposed to be based on the absolute imperative of political freedom, invoking the rules of war against their opponents – and all on the basis of a supposition for which there is no evidence whatsoever: that those opponents are bent on suppression of everyone opposed to them! What the *Observer* classes seem incapable of understanding is that those on the so-called 'far right', unlike liberals, are more than happy to conduct their battles by argument and debate; liberals have an unfortunate tendency to project onto others their own totalitarian inclinations.

Ghost of Hitler

It is all thoroughly convenient, of course, to raise the ghost of Hitler to deal with every perceived threat to the liberal hegemony. The 'Hitler' cry brings rational discussion to an end. The mere mention of the name is intended to paralyse all critical faculties whenever an issue is examined – whether it be race or any other, but particularly race. The Haider party in Austria has tackled the race issue, albeit in a half-baked way. But even a half-baked assertion that there may be innate racial differences is enough to get alarm bells ringing in liberal quarters and provoke a call for sensible debate to be suspended. "He (Haider) and his party fall beyond the pale," screams the *Observer* leader. That is to say that they must forthwith be excluded from all civilised political company!

"No democracy can accept a party or leader that seeks to remove the freedoms and wider culture upon which it rests." That bald assertion in fact knocks away from under liberals and democrats the very ground on which they have always stood: it demolishes their entire case, which rests on Voltaire's cry that "I may detest what you say but I will defend to the death your right to say it." It is not this writer's purpose to analyse the soundness of that principle nor to argue that it must at all times and in all situations be applied, only to say that the principle is a *sine qua non* of liberalism and democracy – without which these twin

Contd. overleaf

BAN THEM! (IN THE NAME OF FREEDOM)

(Contd. from prev. page)

faiths lose all their moral and intellectual authority. Once circumstances are admitted which justify suppression – or even non-acceptance into the civilised comity of politics – of a particular leader, party, movement or creed, the acknowledgement is made that politics have become war, and that power rather than argument is the arbiter. This may well take us into the real world, but it is not the world of liberalism and democracy.

'Beyond the pale'

But that is not all. The *Observer* case against recognising Haider and his party does not rely solely on the unfounded supposition that, if ultimately victorious in Austria, they would set up a totalitarian dictatorship under which all opposition and right of dissent would be eliminated; it suffices in the *Observer* mind that the Freedom Party's policy stances on certain issues – notably race – are so self-evidently shocking and awful that their isolation and exclusion from political debate are justified on those grounds alone. Merely by adopting such stances they have "fallen beyond the pale." Exactly the same arguments have been advanced in Britain for excluding the BNP from all political debate on television and denying it the use of publicly owned meeting halls. It is taken as axiomatic (Why? Because the liberal priesthood has said so) that the BNP "spreads hatred." The spreading of 'hatred' cannot be allowed. "Freedom," cry liberals, "cannot be absolute." Why not? The liberals have a ready-made answer: "Because," they say, "freedom to spread hatred results in the curtailment of the freedoms and rights of certain other members of society (ethnics and now 'gays') to go about their daily lives in safety and peace."

Thus by clever verbal juggling our ruling élite has placed the freedom of those who dissent against its own consensus on a par with the freedom of criminals to commit crime: it must be opposed on the grounds that it violates the freedom and rights of the victims!

But what liberals will never admit is the possibility that a viewpoint that they have designated as self-evidently 'evil' could in fact be right! This is a strange turn-around for people of their ilk, for their very own political and spiritual ancestors consisted of folk whom they would place in exactly the same category as those whom today they seek to blackball and, if possible, outlaw: people who were heretics against the prevailing orthodoxies of their times but whom now they herald as heroes and martyrs.

Those who once proclaimed that the world was not flat but a sphere which revolved on its axis around the sun were seen as 'dangerous' in their day, not least because they caused grave offence to those who held to the old certainties and because they threatened undoubted danger to certain well-encrusted institutions and values. A minority dared to defend their freedom to speak on the grounds that they might – just might – be speaking the truth, and that truth will always out, however long it takes.

Liberals today defend 'democracy' as quite self-evidently the most enlightened form of government and political organisation. Yet over much of history democratic ideas stood in much the same position as the ideas of 'racists' stand in the year 2000: they were ideas which those constituting the established power structures considered dangerous, even subversive, to the prevailing order of things. In the wake of the French Revolution, governments in Britain, notably that of the younger Pitt, regularly carried out acts of police-state suppression of those suspected of trying to import Jacobin doctrines into this country. Those persecuted in this way stand today in the liberal pantheon, along with former dissenters, nonconformists and iconoclasts of almost every kind. Liberals condemn their persecution on the grounds that history's verdict is that they were right (though some today may indeed dissent from this). But strangely, liberals refuse to concede that 'racists' should given a hearing on the grounds that history may in the future vindicate them.

'Causing offence'

The argument that a particular viewpoint should be denied a hearing on the grounds that it is liable to cause 'hatred', and therefore 'offence', is a particularly idiotic one. In an article in these columns in June 1987 I attempted to analyse the constant pejorative use by liberals of the word 'hatred', and in order to underline the absurdity of this argument I said:-

"Hatred can be justified or not justified in different situations, and in those situations in which it is not justified there is a natural defence mechanism against it that is built into the average human mind, with its faculties for fairness and 'common sense.'"

Indeed the whole theory that certain groups must be granted special status and privileges to defend them against 'hatred', while others must be denied certain rights of free expression on the grounds that they might stir up such 'hatred', constitutes an admission that, in the natural scheme of things, the groups defended against 'hatred' are especially likely to invite it while those alleged to be promoting 'hatred' may have a point in what they say. If their 'hateful'

statements are groundless, few would listen to them and the allegedly vulnerable groups would not be in danger and require protection, whereas if such statements are not groundless they should be listened to carefully and evaluated with seriousness and objectivity. Either way, the idea that they should be the object of bans, boycotts and suppression is quite ludicrous.

Hanging like a gigantic storm-cloud over today's debate on racial issues is the uncomfortable possibility – recognised by far more people than will admit to it – that the so-called 'racists' might be right. It is curious but illuminating that as this possibility grows greater, so in almost exact proportion does there grow greater the frenzy against 'racism' and the demand that it be suppressed at all costs and those held guilty of it excluded from all public debate.

Meanwhile, in this discussion today's 'liberals' have placed themselves in the position of yesterday's bigots, reactionaries and enemies of progress. They cannot tolerate the idea that evidence on the matter should be scientifically and objectively examined, that people should be left to speak their minds on it freely and without threat of persecution or punishment, that the 'racist' point of view, even if perhaps wrong, is quite a legitimate one to hold without the holder being subject to gagging and ostracism.

Liberalism today aligns itself not with free enquiry but with mediaeval superstition, supplemented by the modern equivalents of the stake and the rack. Liberals are the flat-earthers of the 21st century, and it is only a matter of time before they are held, universally, in equal derision.



All BNP members from the age of 14 - 24 need to join the Young BNP.

Send a photocopy or hardcopy (it will be returned) of your party membership card and your details (name and address etc) to PO Box 28, Erith, DA8 2ZN. For those reading *Spearhead* who aren't members of the British National Party, membership is open to those of British or kindred European descent, above the age of 14, and not over 24. Membership subs are £20 (£10 students).

All members receive monthly Members' Bulletins.

Website: www.bnp.org.uk

Telephone: 07979 417 677

TO UNDERSTAND the power of the most special of the 'special interests' in America it is often revealing to read their own 'in-house' publications rather than the 'equal rights' pabulum found in the daily newspapers of the corporate media.

utives, writers, agents and actors are also same-sexers. These individuals are almost without exception far-left in their beliefs, which explains why the mixture of left-wing ideology combined with an abiding hatred of the traditional family and normal man-woman relations results in a never-ending

But the onslaught is about to intensify, not lessen. Just as the porn industry has merged with the 'mainstream' entertainment industry, homosexuals are now advocating the mainstreaming of homosexual pornography. In the March 28th *Advocate* homosexual 'philosopher' and pundit Gabriel Rotello writes:-

OUT OF THEIR OWN MOUTHS...

How queers are taking over the entertainment industry

The *Advocate* is the most prominent of the many publications which serve the US homosexual community. In its March 28th issue, *The Advocate* celebrated the homosexual takeover of Hollywood

barrage of sewage on US television and movie screens.

A typical example is the highly acclaimed (by liberals) movie *American Beauty*, which recently

This article, by CARSON WATKINS, was first published in The Nationalist Times, obtainable from PO Box 426, Allison Park, PA 15101, U.S.A. It is especially relevant to British readers. In the first place, the same 'Gay Mafia' as the writer describes is highly active and influential in the entertainment industry of this country. Secondly, a huge (most people would say excessive) portion of the motion pictures and TV programmes shown in Britain are imported from America. The 'gay porn' Mr. Rotello promises us is already on its way here!

and Big Media. As writer Paul Likoudis of the conservative weekly *The Wanderer* described the issue:-

"Homosexuals, gloats *The Advocate*, control Hollywood and the engines of the entire American entertainment industry — film and television, music and theatre. The overwhelming impression left by *The Advocate* is that America's new homosexual power élite has taken a lesson from nazi propaganda minister Joseph Goebbels and has harnessed the communications media to ridicule, belittle, intimidate and demonise middle-class American and family life.

"In article after article, homosexual actors and actresses, writers and producers, directors and gay pundits celebrate their ability to use the entertainment industry to homosexualise the culture and shape the minds of millions of American middle-class youth to accept homosexuality and transgenderism as a progressive development of the human condition."

The takeover of the entertainment industry by homosexual revolutionaries was reported in *The Nationalist Times* in its June 1995 issue in the cover story 'Gay Mafia takes over control of Hollywood'. That story noted that Sandy Geffen, Barry Diller and Sandy Gallin were the three 'dons' of the 'Gay Mafia'. Geffen is a billionaire who joined forces with Steven Spielberg and Jeff Katzenberg to start the 'Dreamworks' studio. An exposé of the Gay Mafia by the now-defunct magazine *Spy* noted that Geffen "can end a career with a 'phone call."

The power of the homosexual élite is far from limited to Geffen, Diller and Gallin. Many exec-

won the Oscar for best movie of 1999. In *American Beauty* all of the heterosexual characters and members of traditional families are dysfunctional, infantile or psychotic, while the only 'normal' characters are Jim and Jim, a homosexual couple. Not surprisingly, the screenwriter for *American Beauty* was the homosexual Alan Ball, while co-producers Dan Jinks and Bruce Cohen are also both out-of-the-closet homosexuals.

CORRUPTING CHILDREN

As homosexuals cannot reproduce, they must continually recruit to increase their ranks. During the recent homosexual 'Millennium March' on Washington DC, there were contingents of young homosexual students, 12 to 14 years old, from over 40 different schools. Just as children in general are now bombarded from infancy with pornographic images, so are they exposed to homosexual imagery and propaganda in advertising and the media.

The ultimate goal of the homosexual revolutionaries is to literally replace the traditional family, and loving relationships between men and women, with the homosexual 'life-style'. The constant degrading and emasculating of men and the attempts to alienate men and women from each other are essential elements of the revolutionaries' agenda. And as with the onslaught of the feminists and black militants, which are about hatred and revenge rather than 'equality', Middle America is refusing to organise to defend its interests, choosing to suffer in sullen silence instead.

"Pornography is so important to gay identity, so powerful in shaping the sexual mores and activities of the next generation, so necessary for expanding the range of sexual practices, that the talented, politically conscious film-makers in Hollywood should make pornography as acceptable as they have homosexuality.

"For gay men, porn is perhaps the gayest form of entertainment we have... the one that speaks most directly to what makes us gay — our sexuality. Entertainment can't get much more sexual than direct representations of sex, much more erotic than scenes of blatant eroticism. Porn, in all its black-white, bi-trans, vanilla-S/M diversity, is also probably more sexually influential than any other element of gay life...

"Yet despite its inherent gayness, diversity and influence, porn is still the crazy aunt in the attic of gay life, the form of entertainment that dare not speak its name.



In Britain the facilities of the 'Millennium Dome' were given up to a gigantic 'Gay Day' in February this year. These two fairies were among the participants.

"Sexertainment is big business and an essential part of gay life, and as long as we deny it we set ourselves up for all sorts of problems. Not the least being that although porn helps define what it means to be gay, helps shape the eroticism of the next generation and helps determine our ideas of safe sex, it's still disproportionately controlled by talentless, apolitical sleazeballs, in part because talented, politically conscious folks don't want anything to do with it.

"But we should get real. Just as gay activists fight for better exposure on prime-time TV, we should spend some quality time thinking about this massive form of entertainment. It's time we acknowledge its immense power, work to make it better and admit that it's a vital, and vitally important, part of millions of our lives."

Homosexual porn in prime time? No way. But then who would have believed 20 years ago that virtually every television show in prime time would have flawless homosexual characters, and that homosexuals would have openly taken over the entertainment industry? Mainstream, homosexual porn is coming — it's the next logical step for the sickness known as liberalism. Unless, of course, long-silent Middle America organises and unites politically to defend its interests, in which case the 'special interests' who have assumed power would quickly be relegated to their proper place in society.

TONY'S GENERAL



Gone are the days when military commanders were chosen on the basis of their ability to win wars. Under 'New Labour', the chief qualification is their willingness to collaborate in politically correct social experiments. That's why Sir Charles Guthrie (top) has been Chief of the Defence Staff pending his retirement this month.

JAMES THURGOOD explains.

WHEN the bombing of Belgrade was going full steam ahead last year, a face appeared on our television screens which I had not seen before. It was that of General Sir Charles Guthrie, who was announced as the Chief of the Defence Staff. In current parlance, this means he was (and, until later this month, is) Britain's top soldier.

A few minutes of listening to the arrant nonsense spouted by Sir Charles about the obvious rectitude of the attacks on the Serbs, and how Britain must do her bit to bring the tyrant Slobodan Milosevic to heel, were enough to convince me that he was clearly a very 'political' general — appointed as a thoroughly loyal and reliable servant of the liberal-globalist establishment rather than because of any special merit as a would-be leader of men in war.

In fact, Guthrie could hardly have been otherwise — and for reasons entirely separate from his enthusiasm for the crusade against Sloba. In recent years the British armed forces, not long ago envied as the world's best, have been demoralised and rotted by political correctness. Discipline has been undermined. Training has been softened, with 'stress counselling' introduced for recruits who don't like being barked at by NCOs. Strenuous efforts have been made to get more 'ethnics' to join, and 'anti-racist' drives have been launched against anyone who might object. The door has been opened to homosexual recruitment. And women have been enlisted for strenuous combat roles on a basis of complete equality with men — sometimes indeed in command of men.

None of this could have happened had the military top brass not been thoroughly compliant in its attitudes. Honourable senior officers, ordered to carry out schemes which they must know would dangerously reduce the fighting efficiency of the forces under their command, would face their political bosses down and threaten resignation — then carry the threat out if the nonsense were not stopped. That Britain's service chiefs have failed to do this simply shows that, at the very top level at least, they place careers before duty. This is a chilling portent of what Britain might expect if she is called on to fight a really serious war for national survival.

To those concerned about these matters, an article appearing in *The Spectator* on May 27th should be of much interest. Titled 'An officer and politician', it told us a few things about General Guthrie which go a long way to explain what has been happening to the British Army. When the General steps down as Chief of the Defence Staff later this year, the article began...

"... he will do so in a haze of goodwill from No. 10. Never have relations between the commander of Britain's armed forces and the prime minister of the day been as warm as they are at present. They border, indeed, on the effusive. A year ago a group of officers were at a dinner party with the General. 'It was all "Tony this and Tony that," according to one of the other guests. 'Some of us, all junior officers, felt a little uncomfortable.' "

This simply has to be bad news. Warm relations between a prime minister and the nation's top soldier can only mean one of two things: either the prime minister has a regard for the military that is exceptionally high among politicians, or the top soldier has a regard for the political class that is unusual among the military. In Blair's case, we can straightaway dismiss the former possibility. He is head of a party and government comprised of people who hate the armed forces, who have for the most part never served in uniform and who simply do not believe in defending the nation. The warm relationship between Guthrie and Blair can therefore only mean that: (1) Guthrie likes Tony's way of politics; and that: (2) Tony finds Guthrie a very willing stooge. Considering the Blair Government's record on defence, this is nothing short of alarming.

DECLINE

The *Spectator* article, by Peter Osborne, justifies such apprehension. "It is striking," says Osborne...

"... that this unprecedented warmth and harmony have coincided not merely with a sharp decline in defence spending, but also with a sustained Whitehall attack on cherished military practices and tradition. There have been embarrassing cash crises. Ships have been stuck in port because the money to pay fuel bills has run out. Exercises have been cancelled because of lack of funds. Army, Navy and Air Force — as the Labour-dominated defence select committee in the Commons recently highlighted — are enfeebled by chronic under-manning. Defence now comes way down the list of government priorities. The clamp on defence expenditure is all the more striking since it comes at a time of strong growth in spending in other areas. Defence spending now stands at 2.5 per cent of GNP, compared with 2.9 per cent the year before Labour came to office."

But that's only the tip of the iceberg. Says Osborne:-

"At the same time the Army, Navy and Air Force have had a series of measures imposed upon them which, in the eyes of many soldiers, are chronically damaging to morale and discipline. The decision to allow gays into the military was made in

the face of sharp opposition from men and women of all ranks who believed — whether rightly or wrongly — that the measure would make the armed services less effective.

“Now there is the prospect of the incorporation of the European Convention on Human Rights into British law. In February General Sir Peter de la Billière, hero of the Gulf War, expressed concern at the way ministers are to permit soldiers to sue their commanding officers for giving orders that with the benefit of hindsight turn out to have been ‘wrong’. Sir Peter — who shares Guthrie’s SAS background but little else — declared that he could ‘think of no measure which would do more to undermine the necessary self-confidence of junior officers’. He asked to know why Britain had failed to follow the example of Spain, France, Portugal and Russia and the many other nations which have secured an exemption.”

Oborne underlined the visceral anti-military mentality of the Labour hierarchy, while making the rather questionable observation that it was not shared by Blair himself. In their attitude to the armed forces, he said, some of Blair’s ministers...

“... are openly contemptuous. New Labour has developed a habit of casually insulting the selfless men and women who risk their lives to serve their country. In March, Keith Vaz, Minister for Europe, linked the Parachute Regiment to right-wing extremism in a press release. The Defence Secretary Geoff Hoon let this offensive attack pass without protest. Indeed, when I raised the issue with the MOD, the official spokesman appeared to come to Vaz’s defence, offering up in mitigation the undeniable fact that Vaz’s remarks had been made during an election period.

“This Vaz episode should be seen in the context of Peter Mandelson’s description of the Household Cavalry as ‘chinless wonders’, and the recent government readiness to countenance a plan for a ‘National Day of Reconciliation in Northern Ireland. British troops and the IRA were to join in a gesture marking an ‘end to conflict’, with the attendant message that terrorists and British soldiers are morally equivalent.”

Well, whether or not the Parachute Regiment is “linked to right-wing extremism,” as Mr. Vaz has claimed, this should not be seen as ‘offensive’. The regiment is supposed to be an institution comprised of some of the very cream of the British Army, and able to acquit itself in battle to the very highest standards of valour and efficiency. The politics of its members should not be an issue of any consequence, but if by ‘right-wing extremist’ is meant *ultra-patriotic* then government ministers should be grateful for that tendency rather than condemning it. Perhaps though, the background of Mr. Vaz makes it harder for him to appreciate the glorious traditions of this fighting force than is the case with indigenous Britons of whose heritage it is a part. As for the ‘Day of

Reconciliation’ in Northern Ireland, officers who would lend themselves to such a hideous charade would deserve no better fate than the traditional one meted out over the ages to those in uniform who declined to do battle with the enemy.

FOR SERVICES RENDERED?

Guthrie, however, seems to belong to that class of Briton who finds it difficult to determine just who the enemy is. Perhaps he appears to the General in the form, not of a foreign army threatening this country, but of those modern Labour demons ‘racism’, ‘sexism’ and ‘homophobia’. Hence the high esteem in which he is held in Westminster. Says Osborne:-

“The mood of anger and dismay at all levels of the British armed forces runs deep. It is shared by men serving in the ranks, by junior officers and by a number

perhaps a place on the Honours List are the number-one imperatives, and who, it seems, will toady to any political boss, of whatever complexion, to secure these goodies!

In the case of Guthrie, it appears that he is destined on retirement to that kind of lucrative job in the City that is the reward of countless politicians who have done nothing for their country but have been conscientious servants of their party.

Osborne says of Guthrie:-

“Even his friends admit that he is a ‘political general’, and agree that it is debatable whether he is better at representing the military position to the government or the government position to the military...”

But:-

“... Others are vitriolic in their criticism. One senior figure still on the active list comes close to accusing Guthrie of placing private ambition before the well-being and



THE ARMY THAT BLAIR & Co. WANT TO SEE DISAPPEAR
In Britain’s ‘new’ and ‘modern’ forces NCOs will no longer be allowed to shout at recruits. Is General Guthrie in favour of this?

of retired generals. But evidently not by Sir Charles Guthrie. Some military sources are convinced that the prime minister is ready to bestow on his friend the ultimate favour. They say he is ready to break the iron rule which prevents peacetime generals being made up to field marshals. Such a move would almost certainly be accompanied by a peerage, not in every case assured for a retiring CDS.”

In this regard, the good General seems really to be in no different category to the legions of chief constables of police up and down the United Kingdom, who have prioritised their duties first and foremost as being those of combatting politically incorrect tendencies in their forces and among the public at large rather than fighting crime. Lucky Britain — to have this huge and growing network of public servants to whom career promotion, a good pension and

professionalism of the men under his command. Earlier this year Guthrie was obliged to send out a sharply worded warning to all brigade commanders advising officers that they should stop leaking details of government defence cuts. The indications are that these leaks have come from middle-ranking officers driven close to despair by government cutbacks.”

Now that Guthrie is stepping down, who will be his successor as CDS? According to Osborne, military traditionalists favour General Rupert Smith, “a brave officer who has served only one tour of duty at Whitehall.” His chief rival seems to be Admiral Nigel Essenhig, “who recently caused purring at Westminster by writing a letter to *The Times* insisting that government cuts had not damaged the operational effectiveness of the British fleet.”

So Who would you bet on?

THE 'HOLOCAUST' HAS BECOME A RACKET

Who says so? His name is Finkelstein!

FOR A LONG TIME, the suspicion has been growing in international circles — by no means all of them 'anti-semitic' or 'neo-nazi' — that the legend of the so-called 'Holocaust' (the alleged extermination of Jews in German-occupied Europe in World War II) is being turned into an unscrupulous racket, part of it to make money and part to exert political pressure.

We say 'alleged' because historians are by no means unanimous about the events falling under the 'Holocaust' heading. Some deny any programme of extermination of Jews under the Nazis. Others go to the opposite extreme and accept the whole package. Between these poles there are

many variations of viewpoint. Some historians, like David Irving, endorse parts of the 'Holocaust' theory while rejecting other parts.

SPEARHEAD reports on a remarkable new book

In fact the 'Holocaust' is not at all the simple issue that many would have us believe. It did not consist of one single big

event; it has to be broken down into thousands of much smaller events, some of them related to each other, some not. Proving that one event happened does not prove that the others did. The whole issue is enormously complex, and if it is to be assessed fairly it must cover *all* inhuman acts which occurred, or were reputed to have occurred during the time it covers. This includes inhuman acts committed by belligerents of *all* the combatant nations in World War II, not just those of the Axis powers.

But for the purpose of this study we can leave aside the question of whether this or that event of the 'Holocaust' took place or not. The relevant question is that of how we deal with the 'Holocaust' today. And here is where there are some extremely interesting developments.

LIES, GREED AND EXTORTION

A book is appearing this month called *The Holocaust Industry*. It has already sent shock waves throughout the world by accusing those who exploit the 'Holocaust' of telling lies, conniving in atrocities and being motivated by naked greed. It condemns the pursuit by Jews of reparations from Swiss bankers and others as "an outright extortion racket." Its author describes the permanent Holocaust exhibition recently opened at the Imperial War Museum in London as "a circus."

But the remarkable thing about this author is that his name is Norman Finkelstein, and he is Jewish — in fact the son of two Holocaust survivors. According to Dr. Finkelstein, his father never spoke of his experiences while his mother spoke of little else. Yet, he says, even she was disgusted at the rise of the Holocaust industry in America, where Finkelstein is a lecturer.

The spotlight on the Finkelstein book was put in a *Sunday Times* review by Brian Appleyard on the 11th June. Said Appleyard:-

"If any of this had been written or said by a non-Jew with no direct experience of the Holocaust, it would have been savaged as anti-semitism or, worse, Holocaust denial. But Finkelstein is a Jew — though non-observant... His view make him an outcast among the American



HOLOCAUST VICTIMS?

These are inmates of Sachsenhausen concentration camp. Shown here sowing clothes in the camp's tailoring shop, they look in reasonably good health. Later, when allied bombing in the war caused havoc with food and medical supplies, many suffered malnutrition and went without adequate medical treatment — as did great numbers of Germans also. Assessing blame for this is a much more complex matter than some historians would have us believe. The suffering of *all* peoples in the war, not just Jews, was a tragedy. Dr. Finkelstein's claim is that Jewish suffering has been highlighted grossly out of proportion, and cynically exploited for commercial and political ends.

Jewish establishment and define him, for many, as an enemy of Israel."

Speaking to Appleyard, Finkelstein said that after liberation in 1945 there were only 60,000 Jewish survivors from the concentration camps. Yet in the 1960s and 1970s many of his parents' friends started claiming to be survivors. Soon everybody was a victim. He continued:-

"I'm not exaggerating when I say that one out of three Jews you stop in the street in New York will claim to be a survivor. And, since 1993, the industry has been claiming that 10,000 survivors have been dying every month. That is completely impossible. It would mean that there were 8 million survivors in 1945, but there were only 7 million Jews in German-occupied Europe before the war."

Finkelstein, according to Appleyard, says that the Holocaust industry was born at the time of the six-day war in June 1967 — before that the Holocaust and Israel were scarcely mentioned in American public life. The American Jewish élite, says Appleyard...

"...embraced the cause of Israel and created the contemporary image of the Holocaust. Finkelstein highlights the power of this élite by pointing out that Jewish income is almost double that of non-Jews. 16 of the 40 wealthiest Americans are Jews, 40 per cent of Nobel prize-winners in science and economics are Jewish, 20 per cent of professors at main universities are Jewish, as are 40 per cent of partners in law firms in New York and Washington.

"Led by campaigners such as Simon Wiesenthal and Elie Wiesel — Finkelstein claims the latter gets a minimum lecture fee of \$25,000 plus chauffeured limousine — the industry insists on the unique nature of the atrocity. It can be compared, they say, to nothing else. Finkelstein — rightly, I believe — identifies this as the intellectual heart of the matter.

"Wiesel and others insist that the Holocaust stands outside history and rational discussion. The only final response is silent incomprehension. This position has become so extreme that any attempt to compare it with other episodes of human cruelty — Finkelstein mentions the deaths of 10 million Africans in the Congo as a result of the Belgian ivory and rubber trade — is often met with accusations of anti-semitism and Holocaust denial."

PRAISEWORTHY

Here Appleyard is, of course, putting his finger on a very real phenomenon of modern media propaganda, and he is to be praised for doing so. Though he is a thoroughgoing orthodox 'liberal' who pays the usual lip service to the 'Holocaust' theory, by saying as much as he has, and by quoting Finkelstein at some length on the matter, he has probably not done his career as a journalist any good. But he has not yet finished. He continues:-

"The danger of the uniqueness argument is that it blinds us to the possibility of

other forms of evil. People see the Holocaust museums and memorials, they see the face of Hitler, and they think that that is what evil is like. The truth is that evil also wore the masks of Stalin, Lenin, Mao and Pol Pot. And if we are convinced that evil must wear jackboots and a little moustache, we may not recognise it the next time round."

Finkelstein, says Appleyard, adds that the leaders of the Holocaust industry use the uniqueness argument to convince themselves of their own virtue. And he goes on:-

"... If this particular suffering and martyrdom were any worse than any other for the victims — including indirect victims such as contemporary Jews and the whole state of Israel — then who dare say a word against the moral stature of those who daily remind us?"

Who indeed — except those few who are prepared to put their careers and/or businesses on the line and cross the threshold to areas of debate that have been declared out of bounds in our contemporary 'democracy'? Of course — though Appleyard does not state it — an important purpose of this atmosphere of carefully engendered hysteria, apart from those mentioned by Finkelstein, is to put the mockers on any seriously rational discussion of the power of Jewry in the modern world and the role it plays in influencing major national and international events. The moment a politician or journalist dares to venture onto this terrain, however moderate the language used, the telephone lines to party or editorial offices will buzz incessantly and he or she is quickly shut up. Should a word of dissent against this gagging process be uttered, the violins will immediately start to play, 'survivors' will be wheeled on stage to relate their experiences (for the umpteenth time) and the dissenter will be accused of wishing to bring back the gas chambers!

RIGHT OR WRONG?

Appleyard probably goes as far as it is possible for a professional writer to go in his nonconformism on this topic. He says of Finkelstein:-

"So is he right? Well, in one key sense he must be... The idea that one historical event is different from all others is plainly irrational. It is also dangerous because it silences discussion and analysis of the Holocaust, and when that happens we lose our ability to learn anything.

"The challenge today," writes Finkelstein, 'is to restore the Nazi Holocaust as a rational subject of enquiry... The abnormality of the Nazi Holocaust springs not from the event itself but from the exploitive industry that has grown up around it...'

"But is he right that the Holocaust industry is entirely self-serving, corrupt and destructive? It is true that it has produced absurd fantasists like Benjamin Wilkomirski, who have persuaded publishers and scholars of the truth of their fabricated

tales of survival under the Nazis. Many of the claims of those who pursue reparations are plainly outrageous, and I do not doubt that the political ruthlessness with which many of these claims have been enforced is, as Finkelstein says, encouraging a new wave of anti-semitism.

"But there is, in his book, a serious problem of tone. It is a rant, and Finkelstein is a man obsessed. Those who know nothing of these matters are likely to doubt the scholarship that underpins such savagely expressed conviction..."

Well, maybe. But the 'rant' description could be applied to some presentations of almost any theory of politics or history we may care to think of. There is ranting aplenty in many of the 'orthodox' books, newspaper and magazine articles, films and TV documentaries on the Holocaust theme. There will always be sections of people who will be put off an idea by the crude style of its advocacy, just as there will be sections who will be thus bullied into accepting it uncritically. The question of whether Dr. Finkelstein is right in the main drift of what he says should not be obscured by preferences in literary style. Perhaps here even Appleyard is allowing his own feelings to get the better of him, for it is very doubtful whether Finkelstein ever claimed that the Holocaust industry was *entirely* self-serving, corrupt and destructive. For every cynical exploiter of the theme, there are probably a hundred unthinking boobies who write about it out of quite genuine conviction, albeit not without the all-too-human craving to be in step with fashion.

**STALIN
Holocaust
propaganda
conveniently
overlooks
his appalling
crimes.**



In conclusion, we must hope that *The Holocaust Industry* is widely read. Coming from a Jew, it is certain to be taken far more seriously, in the current intellectual climate, than if the writer were a Gentile — in which case some suspicion of sinister 'neo-nazi' motives, however unfounded, would no doubt cloud the judgement of many a reader and critic. As things are, it is by no means certain that Dr. Finkelstein's kosher pedigree will ensure that his book gets the circulation it deserves. We can confidently assert right now that 'certain interests' will be pulling all possible strings to get it 'burnt' (figuratively speaking) within the reviewing, retail and library networks. It will be a measure of the health of our free society whether they succeed or not.

The Holocaust Industry: Reflections on the Exploitation of Jewish Suffering, by Dr. Norman G. Finkelstein. Verso. £16.00.

Another 'racist' incident backfires

ASIAN SHOPKEEPER CLAIMS ARSON THEN IS REVEALED AS STARTING FIRE TO GRAB INSURANCE PAYOUT

MOHAMMED BASHIR ran the Cut-Price Mini Market in Byker, Newcastle-upon-Tyne. A little while ago he went to the police with sob stories about the physical and verbal abuse he had had to put up with from local white 'racists'. The press was also contacted and Bashir gave reporters lurid tales about thugs calling him and his family 'Paki bastards'.

The next thing was that Northumbria's top cop, Chief Constable Crispian Strachan heard about Mr. Bashir's complaints. No doubt he saw immediately how he could exploit them to win some brownie points with the local 'ethnics' while at the same time pleasing his superiors in Whitehall. To a blare of publicity, he staged a meeting with Bashir. TV cameras were present as the two went walkabout in the area. Strachan was filmed listening with studied sympathy as Bashir delivered a highly emotive speech about the 'racist' abuse he had suffered.

Then the 'anti-racist' hype moved up several gears when Bashir's shop went up in flames a month later. This also was obviously the work of 'racists'. Bashir told the media: "A little time ago I met Mr. Strachan and showed him around. I told him I was grateful for the help the police have given me but now I've seen the result of meeting him. They've blown up my shop and my home and left everything I worked for in ruins." He added that 'racists' had previously smashed the windows of his home, scrawled abuse on his shop premises and started fights with him and his sons. He claimed that his two



Self-proclaimed 'victim' Bashir is seen above during his highly publicised walkabout with Chief Constable Crispian Strachan, which the latter engaged in as part of his campaign against 'racism' in Newcastle. Later Northumbria's top cop was left looking ridiculous.

cars had been stolen and set alight. "I am quite certain," he said, "that the fire was started deliberately because we are Asian people."

All to the accompaniment of much 'anti-racist' wailing from local press and TV.

But then everyone received a shock. It was discovered that Mr. Bashir had set fire to his premises himself!

The whole thing had been a stunt to claim insurance. Detectives had first treated the reported attack seriously but then found that the owner had taken out an insurance policy on the shop shortly before it was destroyed.

Then, according to Chief Inspector Shaw: "We discovered major discrepancies in details in the interviews when we spoke to members of the Bashir family, which aroused suspicion." Shaw continued: "We had to treat this investigation with great sensitivity because of the allegations of racism he was making."

This sounds like just another way of saying that the police devoted far more manpower and resources to the investigation than they would have done had the alleged victim been white.

At Newcastle Crown Court on June 12th, Bashir admitted conspiring to commit arson. Extraordinarily, in view of his not appealing, he was released on bail pending sentence.

In a report in the *Daily Mail* on June 13th it was stated: "It appears Bashir's claims that his family had become the targets of racists may have been a total fabrication and a part of his money-making scheme."

THE UGLINESS OF THE NEW BRITAIN

THE WOES which afflict the West are particularly evident in Britain — and do not imagine for a moment that Scotland and Wales are excluded from the aesthetic and moral ugliness which pervades most of the island. To be sure, those countries have more beauty of landscape left because they are less disgustingly overcrowded. But the corruption of their politicians is, if anything, even more marked. Glasgow and Swansea are the cesspits of the British Celtic countries. But England has any number of cesspits, from which ugliness radiates outwards. *Si monumentum requiris, circumspice* (if you want a commemorative monument, look around you).

I recently received a letter from the commander of a British tank squadron serving abroad, in which he refers to the loveliness of English churches in their traditional rural setting. He comes from East Anglia, which has village churches the size of cathedrals, mostly erected during the Wars of the Roses in the 15th century, when tax collection was only sporadic. However, their windows have been sightless since the 17th century. Well, it's good to know that some soldiers retain their values.

But beauty in Britain is like the range of machine gun fire — a mere 30 per cent of arc! Outside that arc is ugliness in every direction. The men firing that heavy machine gun are the architect and the builder, who are determined to destroy the beauty which lies within their arc of fire.

Hideous blocks

Surely nowhere are cost-cutting architects so determined to produce what is aesthetically unpleasing as in the new Britain. The hideous tower-blocks of the 1950s (created as a necessity after the little terraced houses, with their small gardens, had been deliberately destroyed as 'socially divisive') have been replaced by the reclining bunkers of the 1980s and 1990s. Nowhere in Britain do we find modern dwellings like those in Cannes, where the beautiful

flats rise in giant steps, with a garden and a swimming pool on each and a wonderful view of the sea. For the British architect and his patrons on the local council, cheap and nasty is a *moral* precept. In fact, architectural ugliness is all moral in that sense. The developers may often be Jewish, but the deep desire for something moral because it is ugly comes at least equally from the native inheritors of the nonconformist religious tradition, ensconced in the local councils.

Role of moneylending

Ezra Pound put it in a different way. He linked moneylending to artistic ugliness, as the corrupt party politicians continue to borrow at the expense of the next generation and impose cost-cutting on both architects and builders:-

"With usura hath no man a house of good stone,
Each block cut smooth and well fitting..."

Of course, there are still people who refurbish old mills, farms or school houses, but they mostly make their money abroad and tell the architect what to do.

But the upper-middle classes have an architectural champion in Prince Charles. The architects responsible for all those excesses between the 'Dome of Discovery' at the Festival of Britain in 1951 and the ridiculous 'Millennium Dome' in the year 2000, together with their toadies in the press, have done their best to represent his human and aesthetic concerns as silly and out of touch. But he persists, and the beautiful houses he has had built at Poundsbury in Dorset have now been given an accolade by no less a person than the Labour Minister of Housing.

Rush to escape

But what about the rest of society? No one ever writes about it in the press, but the lower-middle class, driven to desperation by the cultural breakdown which has spread to the suburbs of the cities, are desperate to escape. Formerly they could have gone to Canada or Australia but only those with marketable skills are wanted

there now. So they longingly eye what is left of the countryside. It was planned to settle four and a half million people in what remains of the countryside in

you may be sure the political parties will see to it that the underclass accompanies them to their new abodes. The immigrants who raid the lovely Cotswold villages

Dr. DOMINIC PURCELL, an expatriate living and working in Central Europe, rues the disfiguration of his homeland

Southern England. This has since been lowered to one and a half million in response to the outcry of country people who can see what is going to hit them. Their councils, however, welcome the influx of new local taxpayers. What party politician isn't in it for the money?).

The new settlers imagine they will escape from their criminal tormentors of the underclass, but

and then retire to their squalid lodgings in Birmingham will in future be planted on the new council estates planned for the whole of Southern England. There will be no peace for those who flee.

Reproduced with acknowledgements to The Fortune Newsletter, available from Augasse 9, Vienna 1090, Austria.



The National Theatre complex on London's South Bank (above) is typical of the revolting architecture of the 'New Britain'. Though it pre-dates Tony Blair's New Labour government by a good many years, it symbolises the worship of ugliness embraced by the new socialist élite.

The culture that's being murdered

CULTURE is unfashionable today. It is seen by many as smacking of the élitism in universities denounced by Gordon Brown, backed up by the likes of John 'Two Jags' Prescott, who just don't realise that producing an élite is the proper purpose of a university. But any reasonable person might think that any college that manages to keep out idiots like Prescott is doing a good job!

The fatal combination of stupidity and penny-pinching that characterises the New Labour/Old Tory approach to culture is exemplified in the sorry saga of the British Library. Irreplaceable old books and manuscripts are rotting away because there is no money to care for them — following a £400 million overspend on the modernistic concrete egg-box that is the new British Library.

Naturally, this casual and callous attitude to our national heritage is nothing new in Britain. The Germans meticulously rebuilt the birthplace of their national poet Goethe following its destruction during the Allied bombing of Frankfurt in World War II. By contrast, the British demolished the excellently preserved birthplace of mystic poet and painter William Blake — to replace it with yet another unnecessary and anonymous cubic block. One wonders, when considering the respective performances of the two countries during the past fifty years, if there isn't something deeply symbolic about this difference in attitudes and behaviour. A nation with no respect for itself, or for its inheritance and traditions, will inevitably fall by the wayside. National consciousness and

national heritage — and the national will to succeed — are closely entwined.

Probably the greatest cultural monuments left to this country by the ages are

While government agencies promote awareness of the heritages of the ethnic minorities with singular fanaticism, says IAN BUCKLEY, that of the British people themselves is left to rot

the forty ancient English and Welsh cathedrals. But these are in various states of repair, and hundreds of millions of pounds are going to be needed to secure their futures. Ancient buildings are too important to be left in the hands of sometimes foolish clergymen, many of whom have begun to resemble slick estate agents as they seek to sell off 'excess stock'. According to the Rev. Kieran Conroy of the Catholic Media Office:-

"All the church disposals we've made in recent years have been because of population shifts, and in particular the move away from city centres. We have former cathedrals in Liverpool, Middlesbrough and Clifton which are now being disposed of, despite a growth in the number of dioceses we have overall."

Incidentally, the former Roman Catholic cathedral in Middlesbrough, with its smashed-in stained glass, is right in the middle of the old town centre, an area that is at present almost totally derelict. New Labour, New Hope, New Prosperity!

Disregard for British culture

The Lottery Commission seems to take a malicious delight in completely disregarding British culture. Numerous pensioners near the breadline buy lottery tickets for a bit of false hope, only to see their money go to some fashionable PC cause. £12 million has already been spent from the lottery stakes of the desperately poor to fund a 'Holocaust' exhibition within the Imperial War Museum. While atrocities may well have been committed by the Germans in the last war — just as they

were to varying degrees by every major belligerent in that war — no effort is made here to disentangle fact from propaganda. How much is proven or even probable, and how much is schlock horror — a mixture of the creations of Soviet propagandist Ilya Ehrenburg and Madame Tussauds? And when will the Imperial War Museum give proper commemoration to the Armenian Holocaust, the present ongoing Iraqi Holocaust or even — dare we say it? — the terrorist bombing of the King David Hotel?

But these diktats of political correctness only control the thoughts of most people because we have lost our national unity and ancient native culture. The cultural rot perhaps began as a result of too rapid industrialisation, in the days when money first became an object of manipulation instead of just a means of exchange. Authoress Flora Thompson saw the first signs of the coming crisis in the rural Oxfordshire of the 1880s:-

"Spiritually, they had lost ground rather than gained it. Their working-class forefathers had religious or political ideals; their talk had not lost the raciness of the soil and was seasoned with native wit, which if sometimes crude was authentic.



A view of the magnificent nave of Ely Cathedral. Our great cathedrals and churches are falling into decay for want of the money needed to renovate them, while lottery millions are frittered away on all manner of ridiculous politically correct causes.

William Blake (1757-1827): His birthplace was demolished to make room for a modern concrete cube.

By now the average number of children in a family was two, but there were many only children and nearly as many childless homes; a family of three was unusual... their creed was that of keeping up appearances."

The folk heritage may have lingered a little longer in the Celtic parts of the British Isles, but there too it is now unfortunately fast losing ground.

With industrialisation, the stage was set for mass standardisation and, as a direct consequence, the marginalisation and mockery of every native British art form. To take just one example out of many, our fine folk music tradition today receives no radio air-time and is generally derided as a musical genre only performed and enjoyed by bearded fat men in chunky Arran sweaters. Nothing is sacred any longer, and a Wordsworth manuscript or Elgar score may vanish into the Capacious

maw of some American academic institution amid public indifference.

Elements of decline

The relentless degradation and decline of Britain is by no means just a matter of simple economics. In the final analysis, the crumbling of our cultural and spiritual underpinnings has been even more devastating and deadly. Material poverty — of which there is plenty in this country — is bad enough. But spiritual poverty — the destruction of beauty, lack of hope and miseducation are far worse. During the past year or so, over a hundred young men — including the son of a Labour Government minister — have died from drug overdoses in Glasgow alone.

One could view the fate of these people in economic terms and see them as weak characters, swept away on the ebb-tide of

the financial racket which has destroyed the shipyards where they might have found work, comradeship and a sense of purpose. But maybe it's more realistic to say that these unfortunates have been fed lies, emptiness and materialism since their earliest years and have simply been unable to resist any longer. The real horror of global capitalism is the spiritual bondage it imposes and its consequent debasement of all human values. In the fine words of Oliver Goldsmith, one of many neglected literary greats, and a poet almost unknown to the victims of our modern educational system:-

*"And trembling from the spoiler's hand,
Far, far away thy children leave the land,
Ill fares the land to hastening ills a prey,
Where wealth accumulates and men
decay."*

Lines as true today as they were over two hundred years ago.

KEEPING AN EYE ON THE 'INFIDELS'?

The picture on the right was taken by a lady reader in a part of the country which, for reasons of her safety, we will not identify. It shows a group of Moslems gathered outside her local church during a church service. In her letter she says:-

"Moslems purposely parked their white van outside. They laughed at the notice board which proclaims Christ as Saviour, then they prayed to Allah on the spot where they are shown in this photo.

"What would happen if a bunch of Christians did this outside a Mosque anywhere?"

"After all, the Moslems could have used their mosque for prayer and not stood so offensively outside this church."

Have any of our other readers witnessed scenes like this? Please let us know.



News from the Asylum

OVER STOWEY, a small village in Somerset (pop. 314), has been designated as a dumping ground for 74 asylum-seekers. The newcomers are to be given free use of a swimming pool, sports pitches and an arts centre — plus all-expenses-paid trips to football matches and shopping centres. In addition to all this, they will be provided with the services of a stress counsellor to help them cope with past traumas and the problems attending their change of environment. And that's not all. The new arrivals will be looked after by 15 specialist staff!

This, however, is all subject to planning permission being granted to a church charity which is organising the scheme. Apparently, the charity, a Baptist one known as 'Kaleidoscope', is facing a battle from local villagers who are none too enchanted with the massive changes the scheme would bring to their locality.

It is not known how many hospital beds or dialysis machines could be paid for by the

money that will be spent to finance this operation.

* * *

STOCKPORT COLLEGE, in Greater Manchester, has drawn up a list of 59 forbidden terms, considered "potentially offensive" and which should not be used by staff. They include 'blackmail' (racist), 'chairman' (sexist), history (sexist!), 'lady' and 'gentleman' (having class undertones), 'Mrs.' (offensive to some women), 'taking the Micky' (anti-Irish), 'deaf' (offensive to people with hearing problems), 'queer' (only permissible when used by 'gay' people).

Crazy? Oh, that word is beyond limits too — it might upset people with mental health problems!

* * *

MORRIS DANCERS up Carlisle way are in trouble with the City Council. A troupe has been threatened with a ban from performing at a council festival unless they drop plans to black up their white faces and caper to the tune

of 'Oh! Susanna'.

The Council claims that the act will cause offence to ethnic minorities — although in Cumbria these are rather thin on the ground.

Apparently, an additional reason for the ban is that the writer of the song, white American Stephen Collins Foster, was thought to be a supporter of slavery.

* * *

A THIEF with more than 70 previous convictions had his sentence deferred last month at Aberdeen Sheriff Court to allow him to continue a college course on criminology.

* * *

MEANWHILE, in Methlick (also in Aberdeenshire) the owner of a shire horse involved in a road accident while pulling a bridal carriage is seeking damages for the horse, claiming that it is suffering from post-traumatic stress.

* * *

THE OWNERS of the farm in Sussex where Rolling Stone guitarist Brian Jones drowned in a swimming pool accident in 1969 are to sell the pool — tile by tile.

Why has much of Britain forsaken the work ethic?

ELLEN STRACHAN throws down a challenge to Tory thinking

WHY do people do jobs? Those who do are motivated by several things: to make money and improve their standard of living and future security for themselves and their families; to gain identity and status from their employment; to have something to do to fill their time; to have contact with other people; or perhaps because they feel it is their social duty.

This last reason is the 'work ethic' — the notion that work has a moral dimension, that we should pull our weight (particularly in the case of men) for the sake of our society.

Many among the older generations still hold the work ethic to be vital, and governments extol it as a matter of necessity. More recent generations, however, do not tend to view work as any kind of social responsibility. Increasing numbers see little or no point in working at all.

Is this sheer fecklessness and laziness on their part, or is there more to it than that?

Let us consider the origins of the work ethic. Not so long ago there was a feeling of common interest among the people of this country. It was reasonable for a miner, let's say, to assume that in working hard hours down his pit he was doing a job not only necessary to himself and his family but also equally necessary to his nation. He felt patriotic and believed that he was doing his duty in being part of the workforce that maintained Britain in a leading place among the nations. This aside, he was most probably too proud to be content with being a burden on others, a parasite or a good-for-nothing. He knew that someone had to do the job he did, and so it might as well be him.

These were the days of the British Empire and before the international bankers had consolidated their power over national affairs in the late 1920s. Of course, there was little welfare state provision for the unemployed then, in any case. But when

later the Empire became dismantled the work ethic started to become irrelevant.

No longer was there any great national purpose that provided additional incentive to work. Instead, labour was just contributing to the expansion of the power of international finance and was increasingly dictated to by it.

Later, Britain became more and more multi-racial, while being less protectionist and nationalistic in industrial policy. At the same time, there was less and less of a correlation between taxes and government expenditure on vital public services. The argument that the national population benefits from the efforts of the workforce became further and further from the truth. Indeed, taxpayers, by their contributions, are now financing an internationalist agenda which is utterly contrary to the interests of Britain.

This agenda is, briefly, the agenda for One World, a One World in which nations shall virtually cease to exist. Huge multinational corporations are becoming the vital centres of power. They will — and do now — employ people wherever it is most profitable for them to do so, regardless of any patriotic obligations. Strictly speaking, it is not ethical to work for these companies, but when there are no welfare state benefits available doing so may be unavoidable for those who do not want to starve. Whether or not you share this theoretical vision, you must surely accept that right now taxes are not used primarily for expenditure that is in the national interest.

Welfare — whose welfare?

And where welfare is concerned, we should not be looking just at deserving cases. For instance, it is totally staggering to count the cost of keeping certain people institutionalised in Britain. I refer to the mentally ill and to prison inmates as two examples. The former cost £300 a day to keep — absolutely mind-blowing. Just think, the price of keeping only one such patient from the ages of 20 to 75 would be over £6 million! Prison inmates are said to cost £400 a week each to keep — or well over £1 million for the same period of their lives.

This is a bottomless pit. No-one who sees the homeless mentally ill on the streets and cries that they should be in hospitals can have thought about the cost of this. There are three alternatives: if they are immigrants, they should be deported; otherwise they should be looked after by relatives, or else humanely put to sleep.

If you think the latter would be cruel, then you should be happy to pay the phenomenal price of keeping them. This all gets Britain further into hopeless debt with the bankers (the national budget deficit). The bankers want this waste of public money to continue as it empowers them to manipulate the nation's politics. The British taxpayer is



The Rover assembly line at Longbridge: maybe the low production rate there is due to more than just economic factors.

paying through the nose just to try and pay off interest on the national debt. All this does is ruin our country and exacerbate the crime and mental illness. It is a vicious circle.

Consider also the following abuses of taxpayers' money: providing asylum (money, houses, medical services, etc.) for 'refugees', most of whom are illegals or here for economic reasons; overseas aid (to help countries that have nothing to offer us in return); training foreign armies (we helped to train the ANC in South Africa and communist armies in Angola and Mozambique); paying for sex-changes on the NHS; financing treatment of AIDS patients; supporting the upkeep of the enormous race relations industry; paying for the lavish wages of Euro MPs.

Work incentive lacking

Then there are other factors to take into account. Today, the difference between many wage levels and welfare income is so

UNDER THE OAK

by NIGEL JACKSON

The sky a heavy blur, a drift of arrowing
Rain mantles the sway of stalwart hills;
And the light of the late afternoon is lazy
Around the far, serrated edges of the ranges.

I walk with Willie under the dense cope
Of full-summer oak into an ancient room,
A green place, resonant of British hearts,
A witches' haunt, a den for Sherwood men.

The last day of the Millennium. At midnight
Merlin may appear, Herne's horn resound
And Arthur sortie with his wakened knights –
Or a new Shakespeare give his birthing cry!


Keep me in mind of Alfred and his law,
Of Thomas bleeding on cathedral stones,
Of Agincourt, Rorke's Drift and Waterloo,
Bunyan and Ramsay wrongfully embarred!

Later I drive to Harkaway with wife alert
To the quiet beauty of the time and a fey daughter
Slipping away to party with her friends. Lustre
Of sunset honeys the westward rim in bliss!

The lady of hares and doves draws near; softness
And fragrance fill the air. Her step in the woods
Is music to the soul, gold, water, light.
Naked, she settles by the moonblanched pool.

The first night of the Millennium. Take up
My harp and walk the wild, brambled path
To her secret grove. How else to find the woods
To burn like torches for a thousand years?

Nigel Jackson is a schoolmaster and poet living in Melbourne, Australia. These lines were written on December 31st 1999.



In Britain's prisons it costs £400 a week to keep each member of the growing population – to a great extent because of the absurdly easy and comfortable conditions prevailing inside. With greater deterrents to crime (tougher jail conditions being part of these) vast sums could be saved. With so many recidivist criminals enjoying the life of Riley in these establishments, those who work hard for an honest living outside might well wonder what is the point of their labours.

small that there is little or nothing to be gained by engaging in regular work.

Then many immigrants into this country see even less reason to work than the indigenous people. To them, an idle life on benefits (sometimes supplemented by crime) is the chosen lifestyle. Many of them have no time whatever for Britain and would not lift a finger to help it. How many of them have the skills to find well-paid employment in any case? So why should they bother?

So, if you yourself are in employment with a reasonable income, then good for you! Perhaps from out of the money you make you can contribute something to the work of those who are campaigning for changes for the better in Britain.

But those who are not employed should not feel guilty — not at least in the current economic and social climate. It is not their fault that so many jobs have gone abroad and that wages in many of those that remain are low by developed-world standards. Such people — if they share our political beliefs

— can turn their situation to advantage. They have lots of time on their hands. They should use it to become more active politically. If you are one of these, remember: your job is not your identity; your race is!

This is no criticism of people who are in good jobs; it is only to demonstrate why it is that so many people do not feel like working today and see little point in it. There is a disillusionment caused by lack of common interest in our fragmented society and the knowledge that working hard will not make it any better.

Of course, under the BNP the situation would be dramatically transformed. Everyone's labour would be valued and everyone would reap the rewards of being a productive member of society, whether as a man in the workplace or a mother at home with her plentiful children. The present abuses and corrupt squandering of taxpayers' money would stop. Britain would be rebuilt with vision and determination. We know how to achieve this future.


LATEST VIDEO

Rally '98 is the video recording of the British National Party's Annual Rally, taking place on the 29th November 1998. After a decision by the BNP not to put this film on the market, its production and distribution were taken over by *Spearhead*.

The rally was possibly the best in the party's history. It was held at a smart venue in the West Midlands after successful attempts had been made by political opponents, including the Board of Deputies of British Jews, to get previous bookings of venues cancelled. After many interesting reports by regional and departmental representatives, the film features speeches by Nick Griffin (then Publicity Director), Richard Edmonds (then National Organiser) and John Tyndall (then party leader). An especially touching feature is the hugely successful collection appeal by Dave Bruce, which raised a staggering £12,000 for the then all-important Euro Election Fund. Less than a month afterwards, Dave was no longer with us, having been tragically taken away by an unexpected heart attack. See and hear the speakers at this stirring event as if you were there!

Rally '98 is available at £15.87 post-free, with a 30 per cent discount for all orders of three or over. Make cheques out to Spearhead Recordings and send to PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW.

RALLY '98



Video recording of the
British National Party Annual Rally
November 29th 1998

Featuring:
NICK GRIFFIN
DAVID BRUCE
RICHARD EDMONDS
JOHN TYNDALL
& OTHERS

Spearhead Recordings, PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW

The forces of human nature, which are territorial and tribal, will smash to smithereens the pretty dreams of the liberals for a 'One-World' Order, says FRANK KIMBAL JOHNSON

IT HAS become fashionable among politicians, academics and media people to describe the modern world as a 'global village'. In fact, the term is inherently nonsensical; what characterises a village is its small size, relative isolation and close-knit community.

But what lies behind this cosy terminology is the theory that modern communications technology, easier travel, international business linkages and common environmental concerns are pushing us inexorably towards 'one-world' politics and ultimate world government. On this view, therefore, the nation-state is no longer a viable entity, since its frontiers must inevitably be surrendered to the completely free movement of peoples from and to any country of their choice, governed only by personal advantage and preference. Meanwhile, 'economic migrants', real or merely self-styled 'refugees' and all the 'underprivileged' of the earth will continue flooding into the Western World, without serious opposition from governments pledged to liberal, multi-racial and internationalist doctrines. This commitment to multi-racialism, together with the limitless numbers of would-be immigrants, can have only one outcome: the final obliteration of all those features that have distinguished western civilisation.

It must follow that if all races are to have equal status everywhere, countries would be defined only by arbitrary geographical boundaries. The notion that 'race doesn't matter' is now an article of faith among the world's power élite of today, who see themselves as enlightened and visionary sophisticates with a duty to compel everybody to else to submit to what they themselves describe as moral imperatives and historic inevitabilities (like marxism used to be). Any opponents are at once classified as 'racist', 'far-right' and even 'neo-nazi'. This conveniently absolves these high priests from arguing the actual issues.

This liberal consensus is the common platform for all inter-party bickering nowadays, and political debate centres only on the means and the details. Likewise with the mass media, which take the one-world consensus as read, and reduce all discussion concerning it to mere trivia.

Meanwhile, any dissenting public figure or media employee is likely to be hounded from office or job within days. Not conscience, but enslavement to fashion, makes cowards of them all.

In this brave new world of the liberal imagination, it is clear that anything resembling discrimination of any kind has to be suppressed as socially divisive and disruptive of that multi-cultural 'harmony' which must be given precedence over all other considerations.

FACTS OF THE REAL WORLD

But, unfortunately for such ideologues, the actual world operates in a way wholly contradictory to them. Finite resources and increasingly cramped living space in the most habitable parts of the planet make some forms of competition and struggle inevitable; *and this invariably involves conflict between particular racial or religious groups.*

Churches, mosques, temples and synagogues cannot occupy the same ground. Likewise, different ideologies — secular or religious — cannot be accorded equal esteem in any educational system or other social activity without doing violence to someone's most cherished beliefs and ideals. It is, for example, the conviction both of devout Christians and Muslims that their particular creeds are uniquely capable of unifying all the peoples of the planet — quite apart from representing the one authentic and unchallengeable truth.

It is also axiomatic that a common language is one of the cements holding any viable society together; so what happens when — as, for example, in California nowadays — alien minorities demand parity between their native tongues and the language of the host country?

And how does a 'representative' government make laws enforceable which run counter to the fundamental beliefs of sizeable and perhaps influential minorities?

Appeals to the democratic majority won't help at all; which majority are we talking about? And it is, anyway, the aim of any dynamic *minority* to expand its sphere of influence and recruitment, come what may.

All the evidence of nature and history points to the fact that man

is, at root, a territorial and tribal animal, needing above all things a homeland in which to establish his identity, enjoy security of tenure there and find adequate scope for self-fulfilment. And all of these things can only be achieved at the expense of competitors for the same living space and resources.

The 'multi-cultural society' is therefore a contradiction in terms; for to the extent that it is multi-cultural it ceases to be a society in any meaningful or worthwhile sense, and becomes no more than a diversely populated 'zone'. And it is already clear that once the alien influx achieves preponderance in the host country it sets about, at once, replacing the laws, values, traditions and institutions of that country with others of its own preference.

Consequently, all the liberal mantras like 'compromise', 'consensus', 'tolerance' and 'integration' will be drowned in an alien clamour. Such, in the words of that great Englishman Enoch Powell, is the nature and significance of the "alien wedge aimed at the heart of our society."

This lamentable state of affairs could only be countenanced and encouraged by individuals lacking in any abiding faith in, or compelling loyalty to, the character, history, traditions and values of their own people. In the ceaseless

war for national survival they are indeed the real 'enemy within', enjoying all the benefits of citizenship while conspiring, or at least acquiescing, in policies inimical to the nation's survival.



REJECTION

This hand raised against a Romanian refugee 'squeegee' merchant preying upon the British people's hospitality and tolerance is symbolic of the natural reaction of tribal groups when they sense their living space is threatened.

OPPORTUNISM THE MOTIVE

These people's 'liberal' protestations are no more than camouflage for their own value-free 'morality', personal advantage and promiscuous opportunism. On their political platforms all races, creeds and cultures reduce without distinction to nothing more than election-fodder; so, of course, they must be seen to espouse multi-racialism and to attack any manifestation of indigenous ethnic patriotism as mere 'bigotry'. Indeed, in the twisted logic of such unprincipled demagogues those who insist on maintaining the character and integrity of their homeland are to be persecuted

with all the self-righteous zeal ordinarily directed towards the country's external enemies.

We live in very strange times. During World War II we sacrificed 55,000 airmen in bombing raids against German towns and cities which killed hundreds of thousands of civilians while making little real impact on the German people's will to resist. Even so, these sacrifices and mass killings were represented as a price worth paying to defend this country against foreign invasion. Well, we are undergoing a foreign invasion now, albeit that the means are different; and when some racial zealot directs a couple of nail bombs at the invaders of his homeland he is vilified by every pillar of society.

Of course, no sane person condones terrorism of this type, whatever the motivation; but, this said, we must bring no less opprobrium to bear on those corrupt politicians busily preparing the ground for Balkan-type conflicts in a hitherto peaceful United Kingdom. They have learned nothing from the African and Asian holocausts they precipitated in the name of colonial freedom — ignoring centuries of inter-tribal and inter-religious rivalry. They have


learned nothing from the inevitable collapse of artificial 'nations' cobbled together by *diktat*: from the 'Irish Problem', the former USSR, Yugoslavia, India/Pakistan/Bangladesh/Punjab/Kashmir; from Arab/Israeli conflicts. Like zealous latter-day Frankensteins, they persist in creating monsters in the grotesque conceit that they can make something at least as good as, if not better than, its disparate parts.

And witnessing the horrifying consequences of their own liberal folly, they look everywhere else for scapegoats and demand punitive action against those who simply try to pick up some of the pieces from the mess they themselves have created, calling them 'racist bigots', 'war criminals' and — irony of ironies — perpetrators of 'genocide'.

Meanwhile, we had better confront the fact that it is actually the genocidal import of the liberal consensus which poses the greatest threat to national stability, civilisation and — yes — international relations.

So when you hear the term 'multi-cultural' look to your defences!

Tyndall portrait for sale



Some British National Party supporters have got together to produce and market a framed portrait of the party's founder and present *Spearhead* publisher and editor John Tyndall (shown above). Mr. Tyndall himself neither initiated nor has taken any part in this project, much though he appreciates the gesture. Copies of the portrait are signed by him personally and also by the artist Anthony Payne.

The price per copy is £40.00 (post-free) UK only. Please make cheques or POs out to 'Save Our Sterling' and send orders to PO Box 56, Bexleyheath, Kent DA7 6ZQ. In accordance with Mr. Tyndall's wishes, all profits will go to the BNP.

Read *Spearhead* on the Internet!

The best articles and news items published in *Spearhead* in recent months can now be seen on the *Spearhead* website. You can visit this site at:-

www.spearhead-uk.com

Living in luxury — at our expense!

The latest scandal surrounding illegal immigrants was revealed in the *Daily Mail* last month. A new Home Office detention centre is being planned for the small Kent village of Aldington, near Ashford, which will house 350 newcomers. And — get this — no less than 200 staff will be present to cater for their every need!

The migrants will (theoretically) be confined to the boundaries of the centre but will be free to go where they wish within those limits. Hence the need for the relatively huge

staff.

Provided will be a gymnasium, a library and access to satellite TV. Apart from extensive security staff, health carers, administrators and maintenance workers will be needed in generous measure. The cost to the taxpayer? £1,300 per immigrant per day!

The new centre is part of government plans for a major expansion of the detention network.

Some might ask: wouldn't it be a lot cheaper just to send them all home?



Aldington: Host village to 350 illegals

FRIENDS OF SPEARHEAD

Friends of *Spearhead* is a support group that has been formed for the purpose of ensuring our magazine's continued survival in new circumstances in which it is going to be facing reduced sales and advertising through the British National Party network due to the decision of the party leadership to launch a new and separate magazine.

Members of Friends of *Spearhead* will be asked to pay a subscription of a minimum of £10 a month, in return for which they may, if they wish, receive five copies of each monthly issue for redistribution (by whatever means they prefer). This subscription may be paid by ordinary cheque or by direct debit, in which case details of our bank and account number will be supplied on request.

In addition, Friends of *Spearhead* will be undertaking certain other promotions, details of which will be advertised as they occur.

We hope very much that our readers and supporters, not only in the UK but around the world, will rally to help us in this new project.

Friends of *Spearhead* can be contacted at our usual address: PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW.

RACE
AND
NATION



The Editor
'Spearhead'
c/o PO Box 117,
Welling,
Kent DA16 3DW

SIR: Recently I wrote to Alex Salmond, leader of the Scottish National Party asking the question: "If you are a truly nationalist party, does this mean that you believe in Scotland for the Celtic Scottish people?"

In reply I received a letter from a secretary to Salmond, which read as follows:-

"I would advise that the Scottish National Party — as 'Scotland's Party' — is for all people living here, regardless of racial or ethnic origin. We take the view that Scotland is far from full up and our problem is not immigration but emigration."

Stephen Smith (Office Manager)

So much for the party's so-called 'nationalism'. A Scottish branch of the New World Order, more like!

PAUL SNELL
Ilkeston, Derbyshire

SIR: I believe that the British National Party is the only party that genuinely stands for free speech in this country, and I believe that as members of the BNP we should at all times protect this freedom.

I was horrified to see David Irving pelted with eggs when he arrived at court in London for his Holocaust trial, and the alleged killers of Stephen Lawrence (who have not been convicted) forced to run to safety from a vicious anti-white mob.

I believe our members should attend these events to protect white citizens. I, for one, would willingly go.

MATTHEW JACKSON
Rushden, Northants.

SIR: I thought you might be interested to know that mainland Brits cannot buy houses on Jersey unless they are doctors.

However, there are 12,000 Portuguese on that tiny island employed in the agricultural sector. They have plenty or children, and when the children are 12 years old they are legally entitled to buy property on Jersey. Not surprisingly, hundreds of Portuguese are buying houses in the names of their kids. Very soon, Jersey will become Portuguese. The British race is losing ground *everywhere*.
NAME AND ADDRESS WITHHELD

(EDITOR'S POSTSCRIPT: Is this true?)

SIR: A letter published in the *Sunday Telegraph* recently deplored Tony Blair's failure to attend the 85th anniversary of the Gallipoli landings, in which large numbers of British and White Commonwealth servicemen lost their lives. According to the letter, junior minister

John Spellar said that "Gallipoli was a long time ago and a long way off" and that Mr. Blair "is a very busy man."

Gallipoli is an even longer way off from Australia and New Zealand but this did not prevent those countries' prime ministers being present at the ceremony.

One wonders what Blair and the rest of this rabble of a government were doing on the day — shaking the bloodstained hands of IRA terrorists, perhaps?

DAVE
Croydon & Merton BNP

SIR: Has anyone noticed that there was very little really detailed news coverage of the horrific case of arson in Chingford in which a white family (seven in all) were incinerated and the arsonist a crazed black man? Contrast this with the huge publicity surrounding the Stephen Lawrence murder, which has been going on for seven years after the event and shows no sign of letting up even now.

One black youth killed and all that hype. But when seven Whites (including little kids) are burnt to death, all that the media can do is dismiss the incident by saying that the killer was 'deranged' (in other words, not responsible!), that he had a 'deprived' childhood and was a failure at school.

It seems that one black life is worth more than seven white ones!

T.D. FOSTER
Edgware, Middlesex

SIR: Another 'racist' murder — this time in South Wales — has been highlighted on this evening's news: obviously, a murder of an innocent, law-abiding man. But one has to examine *why*. Only the murders of Blacks and Asians receive media headlines. Murders by Blacks and Asians of Whites, and of each other, are known by police to be far more prevalent, but in the interests of expediency these are swept under the carpet.

None of us, of course, can condone murder but the glaringly obvious truth remains: if they were not 'here', inflicted us 'us' without our mandate, racial conflict would not occur and such murders would be unknown.

The victim of the murder in Wales is said to have been an Indonesian. Indonesia is a former *Dutch* colony, so why do such people come to Britain when their *mater magna* would have been Holland? It has to be because we are regarded by the world as the biggest 'soft touch'.

M. HANNAH (Mrs.)
Laughton, East Sussex

SIR: Have any other patriotic senior citizens had this problem?

Some years ago, those of us who enjoy the 'Meals on Wheels' service (a godsend to my wife, who is registered blind, and to me, severely arthritic for ten years) received a circular from the Council asking for our suggestions for improving the service. "Anything at all," they said. "Do not hesitate: please let the Council/ Age Concern/WVS know of any improve-

ments."

I felt dubious about this but I took them at their word and replied asking them not to send Blacks or Asians when delivering our meals.

I received no reply, and black women continued to be among those doing the deliveries. And this morning we had the first delivery from a black male!

M.F. INGRAMS
Orpington, Kent

SIR: Once again the name of Britain — sorry, England — is disgraced by the hooligan behaviour of hundreds of soccer louts, this time in Belgium for the Euro 2000 competition. There are many things one could say about the causes of this but I will focus on just one.

Germany too has her football hooligans but the vast majority were prevented from getting to Belgium by a combination of sensible cross-party political legislation and diligent policing. Emergency laws were passed some months ago granting draconian powers to restrict travel, and then a huge police operation involving 1,000 officers and costing £10 million swung into gear, with passports suspended and known soccer thugs ordered to report to their local police stations at the times of crucial matches — with penalties of a year in jail for those who did not comply. The result was that out of an estimated 'hard core' of £2,700 all but 200 were stopped travelling.

In Britain, after the trouble in France two years ago there were demands for similar legislation and action, but the government failed to do anything effective, shying away from the necessary legislation on grounds of 'human rights'. As a result, most of the known troublemakers made it to Belgium and, once again, dragged our name through the mud. One German official described our Government's efforts as 'pathetic'.

Put together with the fiascos of the Millennium Dome and footbridge, it all just goes to demonstrate that this must surely be the most incompetent government in the nation's history.

A.D. WATERHOUSE
London S.W.6

SIR: Stephen Glover, writing in the *Daily Mail* (June 20th) spoke of the ridiculous hype whipped up over England's soccer match with Germany in Charleroi, and put his finger very perceptibly on one of the causes. He spoke of Germany's growth and success since her defeat in the last war and Britain's relative shrinkage and failure. This, he maintained, has given many Britons a complex *vis-a-vis* our former wartime adversary, and led to quite absurd and childish gestures in the way of trying to 'get back' at her.

Only when we have recovered our national strength and honour and are able to look the Germans squarely in the eye without feeling so inferior will we regain some of our former dignity as a nation.

B. COOKE
Manchester

PUBLICITY MATERIAL

Except where otherwise stated, the items listed below should be ordered from PO Box 287, Waltham Cross, Herts. EN8 8ZU

BNP PUBLICATIONS

Britain Reborn

British National Party Manifesto for the 1997 General Election. In 64 large pages, it examines all the problems facing Britain today, and sets out the BNP policies which will meet the challenges ahead. **£3.66 post-free.**

Freedom for Britain and the British

The British National Party's Manifesto for the 1999 Euro Election. 24 pages long, it covers all the key areas in which EU membership is undermining Britain's traditional freedoms. **£2.16 post-free.**

No to Maastricht! No to Europe!

Booklet outlining the British National Party case against the EC and in particular against the Maastricht Treaty. Produced by BNP Devon Branch in collaboration with party headquarters. **60p post-free.**

The Enemy Within

Exposes the role of the BBC as a weapon of mass brainwashing. The booklet comprises the text of an official complaint by the party to the Broadcasting Complaints Commission. **44p post-free.**

BNP Activists' Handbook

This handbook is a comprehensive instruction course in all the essential techniques needed in promoting and building the British National Party at local branch level, starting from scratch when the activist is entirely alone. This booklet is a mine of information that all activists, old and new, will find valuable in the quest to make their activities more efficient and effective. It is a 'must' for everyone who wants to be more than just an armchair nationalist. The Handbook is illustrated and runs to 71 pages. **£2.00 post-free.**

Spreading the Word

A handbook on propaganda designed to supplement the Activists' Handbook. Illustrated, *Spreading the Word* runs to 60 pages and contains five sections:- (1) General Principles of Propaganda; (2) Recruitment; (3) Canvassing for Elections; (4) Speaking to the Media; and (5) Speaking from the platform and presentation of Party meetings. Special attention is also given to dealing with enemy smears — valuable for this alone. **£2.00 post-free.**

BNP LEAFLETS

The Full Monty: Exposes Tony Blair's unbelievable recommendation that skilled men thrown out of work by New Labour's Tory globalist economic policies should restrain as strippers! Even more arrogant than Norman Tebbit's infamous 'On your bike' comment, this disgusting suggestion has given the BNP a leaflet which can be used to devastating effect in Labour's old industrial heartlands.

Do you think? Hard-hitting leaflet dealing with the flood of bogus refugees and Kosovar Muslim asylum-seekers now bringing social services in many parts of the country to the point of collapse.

Conservative? Not any more! Aimed especially at disillusioned Tory voters and activists, and particularly useful in the constituencies of Tory MPs who attack the BNP. Exposes the liberalism and perversion which riddles the Conservatives.

Stop the building! Calls for a halt to the planned destruction of the green belt by up to five million new houses. Exposes immigration as the main motor of the threat to our countryside.

The leaflets above are all two-coloured, double-sided and cost £8 per 1,000, with postage charges of £5.95 for 1,000 and £6.45 for 2,000.



Official newspaper of the British National Party. Monthly 12-page tabloid. Price 45p. Sample copy 64p. One-year subscription (British Isles) £8.65. Write to *Voice of Freedom*, PO Box 1032, Ilford, Essex IG1 1DY.

Other publicity items in stock are the headline-grabbing A4 anti-paedophile leaflet *Stop Labour's attack on the welfare state*, *Defend British Fishermen*, and *British Countrymen*, the BNP newsletter produced especially for country people. Call 07774 454893 for details.

Regular leafleting is the key to increased recruitment. These are first class propaganda weapons. Use them to build the British Resistance!

BNP VIDEO RECORDINGS

Rally November '97: Video of the second BNP rally of the year. Excellent regional reports, plus stirring speeches from Richard Edmonds, Nick

Griffin and John Tyndall.

Rally January '97: Video of the postponed 1996 BNP Annual Rally, held in London on January 25th 1997. Speeches by Dr. Donald Stevens, Nick Griffin, Richard Edmonds, John Tyndall and overseas guest José Ramos.

Rally '95: Video of the BNP Annual Rally, staged in London on November 11th 1995. Hear and see speeches by John Morse, Richard Edmonds, Thomas Andersson, Michael Newland, Dr. William Pierce and John Tyndall.

Videos of Rallies '95-'97 cost £15.87 each post-free.

Rally '94: Video of the BNP Annual Rally, staged near London on November 5th 1994. Speeches by David Bruce, Richard Edmonds, Gunther Deckert, Claude Cornilleau, Michael Newland and John Tyndall. **£12.87 post-free.**

BNP AUDIO TAPES

BEST-SELLING TAPE! Two recorded talks by John Tyndall: *The BNP: a movement for the new century*. An exposition of the main BNP policies; *Time for action!* A summons to the lazy and the apathetic to stir themselves and get involved! **£6.00 plus 41p p&p.**

ALLIED PUBLICATIONS

THE TRUTH AT LAST

Hard-hitting tabloid for American and other White Race patriots. *The Truth at Last* is not a BNP publication but the topics are most enlightening, covered as they are with total freedom of speech and frankness. Send £5 note for trial 6-month subscription to *The Truth at Last*, PO Box 1211, Marietta, Georgia 30061, U.S.A.

FREE SPEECH

Written transcripts of the regular radio broadcasts made by Dr. William L. Pierce of the American National Alliance. Of interest to white people all over the world. Appears monthly. Send US\$5.00 for sample copy. Subscription rates to the UK supplied on request. Please send US currency only. Write to PO Box 330, Hillsboro, WV 24946, U.S.A.

British National Party lapel badges: These are temporarily out of stock. The party is re-ordering, and they should be available very soon. Watch this page for further information.




identity

Identity is the newly launched official magazine of the British National Party. With 28 glossy pages and full-colour cover and on some inside pages as well, plus articles on a wide range of topics, *Identity* is a showcase for modern nationalism and a 'must' for dedicated activists and curious sympathisers alike.

Issue number three includes: London and local election results, Party Chairman Nick Griffin examines further the problems facing a united Britain, BNP Treasurer and Press Officer Michael Newland describes "Standing for Mayor", and contributions from Ian Buckley, Paul Golding, John Bean, and much, much more.

The cover price of *Identity* is £1.95, but all readers of *Spearhead* can obtain their sample copies for a specially reduced post-inclusive price of just £2.00. Please make cheques or Postal Orders payable to 'Identity', and send to PO Box 25352, London, NW5 2FE.

PO Box 25352,
London, NW5
2FE.
Telephone:
07979 417 677



AGRICOLA AND GERMANIA (Tacitus). £6.99. The great Roman historian describes our Celtic and German ancestors in about 100 AD. Two books in one volume. This ed. 1970, 175pp.

AMERICA'S DECLINE: THE EDUCATION OF A CONSERVATIVE (Prof. Revilo P. Oliver) £4.50. A collection of articles and reviews on various aspects of the collapse of modern civilisation. 1981, 375pp.

... AND THE TRUTH SHALL SET YOU FREE (David Icke) £13.50. A very individual and controversial study of the global financial and political élite. 1995, 474pp.

ANGLO-SAXONS, THE (Ed. Campbell) £15.00. A handsome, well-illustrated book covering the history of England and the culture of its people from the 4th to 11th centuries. With leftist teachers and curriculum advisers doing all they can to undermine knowledge of, and pride in, our ancestors among the younger generation, it is important that nationalists get books like this into the hands of their growing children. 1982 (rep. 1991), 272pp.

APOCALYPSE 1945: THE DESTRUCTION OF DRESDEN (David Irving) £15.00. An updated version of the 1963 account of this real 'war crime' — the allied terror bombing of an undefended city, packed with refugees fleeing from rape and murder at the hands of the brutal Red Army. 1995, xiv, 315pp.

ARTHUR'S BRITAIN (Leslie Alcock) £9.99. Convincing picture of life between the 4th and 7th centuries, when Celtic Britain was abandoned by the Roman Legions to the Picts, Scots and Anglo-Saxons. 1971 (rep. 1989), 437pp.

BABYLONIAN WOE, THE (David Astle) £17.00. A study of the origin of certain financial practices and their effects on the events of ancient history, written in the light of the present day. 1975, 250pp.

BEGINNINGS OF ENGLISH HISTORY, THE (Dorothy Whitelock) £6.99. Describes Anglo-Saxon culture and organisation and relations with the Celts between the leaving of the Romans and the coming of the Normans. 1952 (this ed. 1991), 256pp.

BELL CURVE, THE (Richard J. Herrnstein & Charles Murray) £25.00. This important book, which has aroused enormous controversy in the USA, deals with differences in intellectual capacity among people and groups. The ways in which these could be accounted for by environmental influences are examined, and accorded the remarkably small amount of weight they deserve. 1994, xxvi, 845pp.

BLAIR'S BRITAIN (Hal Colebatch) £7.95. The threat posed by the present cultural establishment, supported by the Labour Government, to our national identity. Highly recommended. 1999, 158pp.

BRITAIN'S FUTURE: THE MEANING OF THE MAASTRICHT TREATY (Prof. Stephen Bush) £1.25. A strong plea for British independence from the EC bureaucracy. 1990 (rep. 1993), 24pp.

BRITISH ISLES, THE (Hugh Kearney) £7.95. Sub-titled 'A history of four nations', this is an outline of British history by an academic historian stressing the role of the non-English parts of the British Isles. 1989, 324pp.

CALL IT CONSPIRACY (Larry Abraham) £14.00. Shows how socialism is a product of the wealthy, and how money manipulation has disastrous consequences. 1985, xvii, 325pp.

CAMP OF THE SAINTS, THE (Jean Raspail) £5.00. A welcome reissue of this sensational novel about the destruction of the white race by Asian immigrant invasion. Once on sale in ordinary bookshops, but now only available from

The prices given in this list do not include postal charges, which should be estimated by calculating one eighth the total value of the order, except in the case of overseas orders, where those about to send for books should first enquire. The minimum postage for UK orders is 50p.

Payment should be made in sterling only and should be enclosed with all orders. All cheques or postal orders should be made out to 'Freedom Books' only, and should not be included in remittances sent to associated bodies for other items. Orders are likely to be dealt with more quickly if 'Freedom Books' is marked on the envelope. Please send all orders to our mail order address, which is:-

**Freedom Books
PO Box 59
Mold
Flints. CH7 5ZA**

New titles are marked with stars ★

Please note this fact, which is of great importance: the books sold through Freedom Books are written by many different authors holding a number of different political viewpoints, very few of them being in any way connected with Freedom Books' parent, the British National Party. The party's mail order book service distributes these books because it is felt that they fill important gaps in public information and understanding of vital national and international issues. This does not mean that all the content of the books should be taken as representative of the policies of the party. The party reserves the right to differ with some of the authors over some parts of their writings.

the nationalist 'underground'. One of the great works of fiction of the second half of the 20th century. 1973, 311pp.

CASE FOR DAVID IRVING, THE (Nigel Jackson) £12.50. A study of the organisations and individuals seeking to suppress the historian David Irving's freedom to travel and communicate and of the smear campaign against him. The book relates especially to Mr. Irving's efforts to get into Australia. 1994, xi, 208pp.

CELTIC MYTHS AND LEGENDS (D.W. Rolleston) £1.99. The myths and founders of Britain. First published early this century, rep. 1994, 457pp.

CENSORED HISTORY (Eric Butler) £4.00. An examination of some of the facts of recent

history that have not found their way into textbooks or newspapers. 1974, 48pp.

CHURCHILL'S GRAND ALLIANCE (John Charmley) £20.00. A study of the Anglo-American relationship of 1940-57, showing the disastrous consequences of Churchill's faith in US friendship. 1995, xv, 427pp.

CHURCHILL'S WAR (David Irving) £16.95. The highly controversial story of Britain's World War II leader and the conflict in which he was at the centre. 1987, 591pp.

CHURCHILL, THE END OF GLORY (John Charmley) £30.00. This massive work follows in the footsteps of David Irving in its raising of awkward questions concerning Churchill as a national leader, though the author is more moderate in his treatment of his subject than is Irving. 1993, 742pp.

COLLAPSE OF BRITISH POWER, THE (Correlli Barnett) £10.99. Vitally important book which shows how liberalism and lack of political realism brought about Britain's 20th century decline in the political, industrial and military fields. A classic work by a mainstream historian, more relevant by the year! 643pp.

COME CLEAN, MR. GABLE (Alexander Baron) £1.50. Shows how Gerry Gable of *Searchlight* magazine exploits the story of the Stephen Lawrence murder but has used exactly the same sort of violent hoodlums as those who committed it. 1998, seven pp (A4).

CONSPIRACY OR DEGENERACY? (Prof. Revilo P. Oliver) £4.00. Text of a lecture by the author, a brilliant classical scholar, to New England rally for God, Family and Country. 1966, 76pp.

CONTROVERSY OF ZION, THE (Douglas Reed) £17.75. A best seller in nationalist circles ever since its publication. A study of Jewish-Gentile relations since biblical times, packed with little known and long suppressed facts. 1979, 580pp.

CRIMES AND MERCIES (James Bacque) £8.99. The shocking treatment of German civilians under the allied occupation, 1945-50. The hidden story of the biggest 'ethnic cleansing' in European history. 288pp.

DEADLIER THAN THE H-BOMB (Leonard Young) £2.00. Important work on the international money power and its threat to the freedom of nations. The author was a distinguished RAF officer and active nationalist. 1992, 72pp.


DISCRIMINATE OR BE DAMNED! (John Fairbanks Kerr) £8.25. An Australian author describes how anti-discrimination legislation in Australia, the UK and the US has resulted in absurdities and injustices. 1995, vii, 126pp.

DISPOSSESSED MAJORITY, THE (Wilmot Robertson) £15.50. This book has attracted worldwide attention, dealing as it does with racial problems in America (and not just simply between Blacks and Whites). A comprehensive introduction to the subject very relevant to Britain. 1981, 613pp.

ELEVENTH HOUR, THE (John Tyndall) Hardback £25.00; paperback £17.50. Comprehensive statement of the case for modern British Nationalism. A partly autobiographical account of the author's origins, first political stirrings and subsequent work in building up the British National Party. A 'must' for all BNP supporters. First published in 1986, this is an updated edition published in 1998, 537pp.

EUROPE'S FULL CIRCLE: CORPORATE ÉLITES AND THE NEW FASCISM (Rodney Atkinson) £7.95. The book argues that the European Union is fascist and corporatist in inspiration. Discusses the Bilderberg Group, the CIA and internationalists like Arnold Toynbee and Joseph Reitlinger. 1996, 149pp.

THE CASE FOR DAVID IRVING



Topical
in view
of the
subject's
crucifixion
in the
High
Court
recently
(see
this
page)

work on the subject." 1989, 732pp.

FROM ROME TO MAASTRICHT (Brian Burkitt, Mark Bainbridge & Stephen Reed) £1.50. A reappraisal of Britain's membership of the European Union. 1992, 69pp.

GLOBAL TYRANNY, STEP BY STEP (William F. Jasper) £12.50. How the United Nations is being developed as an omnipotent global government, suppressing national identities and freedoms. 1992, xvii, 350pp.

GREAT BETRAYAL, THE (Patrick Buchanan) £15.00. How American sovereignty and social justice are being sacrificed to the gods of the global economy. A powerful plea for national freedom and social justice with great relevance to the UK. 1998, 376pp.

HATE COMES TO TOWN* (Alexander Baron) £1.50. The lies and poison of the *Searchlight* 'Educational' Trust, documented, exposed and deconstructed. 1999, 25pp (A4).

HEREDITY AND HUMANITY (Roger Pearson) £9.65. Covers race, eugenics and modern science. A scholarly but eminently readable criticism of egalitarianism by the holder of a PhD in Anthropology. 1996, 162pp.

HIDDEN MENACE TO WORLD PEACE (James Gibb Stuart) £10.00. A well-known advocate of monetary reform deals also with secret politics, media censorship and the movement towards a 'New World Order'. 1993, 233pp.

HOLLYWOOD PARTY (Kenneth Billingsley) £16.99. The story of the attempted communist takeover of Hollywood in the 1930s and 1940s. The truth about the 'blacklist' and the HUAC hearings. A 'must' for students of media lies. 1998, 365pp.

IDEOLOGY OF OBSESSION (David Baker) £35.00. Study of the life and thought of A.K. Chesterton one of the major figures in British Nationalism from the 1930s to the 1970s. Though not supportive, generally fair. 1996, xiii, 250pp.

IMPERIUM (Ulick Varange) £15.00. One of the most important nationalist philosophical books of the post-war period. The author (real name Francis Parker Yockey) rejects Marx, Freud and other 'culture-distorters' and demolishes the whole liberal world outlook. Originally published 1948, 625pp.

JEWISH HISTORY, JEWISH RELIGION (Israel Shahak) £11.99. An absolutely vital book by a brave Israeli professor at Jerusalem University. A real eye-opener on Zionism. Paperback.

KILLING OF THE COUNTRYSIDE, THE (Graham Harvey) £7.99. A former farming journalist describes the havoc wrought by subsidised 'agri-business' on the environment of rural Britain, on the taxpayers and on the rapidly shrinking number of independent farmers. A truly shocking and important book. Paperback.


LAST SECRET, THE (Nicholas Bethell) £7.99. The disgraceful story of how the anti-communist Cossacks were forcibly handed over by the western allies for slaughter by the Soviets between 1944 and 1947.

LEMMING FOLK, THE (James Gibb Stuart) £7.95. A witty and factual examination of current attitudes and intellectual fashions that are threatening our future. 1980, 246pp.

MARCO POLO REPORT (Institute of Historical Review) £13.00. The extraordinary story of how international pressure groups compelled a popular Japanese magazine to close down after it had published a revisionist article. 1995, 30pp (A4).

MAN AND TECHNIQUES (Oswald Spengler) £3.95. Sub-titled 'A Contribution to a Philosophy of Life', this book argues that the world is governed by natural forces in man and nature, rather than by genteel political and religious creeds. c. 1930, rep. 1992, 73pp.

MANY SHADES OF BLACK* (John Bean) £8.00. Political autobiography of one of Britain's leading nationalists of the 1950s and 1960s. The writer describes the major developments in the patriotic movement in those times, together with his own



INSIDE BRITAIN'S FAR-RIGHT
John Bean



A look
at the
nationalist
move-
ment of
the
1950s
and
1960s
— from
the
inside
(See
this
page)

assessment of the issues over which it was sometimes divided. 252pp.

MONEY BOMB, THE (James Gibb Stuart) £4.25. Discusses the failure of our debt-based financial system and describes its role in creating inflation. 1983, 158pp.

NAMELESS WAR, THE (Capt. A. Ramsay) £8.00. An outline of the secret history of the events leading up to the Second World War. First published 1952, 128pp.

NATURAL LAW OF RACE RELATIONS (Laszlo F. Thomay) £7.50. An examination of racial tensions in a number of areas, showing that they are based not on hate or bigotry but on natural universal laws. 1993, 145pp.

NEW UNHAPPY LORDS, THE (A.K. Chesterton) £6.00. An exposure of the financial power that seeks to dragoon mankind into a global police state. 1972, 288pp.

ONE WORLD, READY OR NOT? (William Greider) £9.99. Subtitled 'The Manic Logic of Global Capitalism', this book demonstrates the threat posed by the global economy to the economies and societies of individual nations by currency transfers and the shifting of production to low-wage countries. A demolition of fashionable economic dogma by a mainstream author. 1997, 582pp.

PHILOSOPHY OF NATIONALISM, THE (C.C. Josey) £10.00. Eminent scholar argues that civilisation is based on ethnically homogeneous nations. 1923, rep. 1983, 227pp.

POPULISM AND ÉLITISM (Prof. Revilo P. Oliver) £4.00. A study of the purpose and function of political power and the elements which have wielded it in the 20th century. 1982, 101pp.

RACE, INTELLIGENCE AND EDUCATION. 50p. Produced by a group of nationalist teachers in reply to an NUT race-mixing booklet. Packed with facts and cogent argument. 1979, 20pp (A4).

RACE AND REALITY (Carlton Putnam) £4.00. A companion volume and supplement to *Race and Reason*, showing how the truth about the race issue has been suppressed by interested parties. 1967 (rep. 1977), 192pp.

RACE AND REASON (Carlton Putnam) £4.00. A plain, unemotional account of the race issue that has been an invaluable contribution to the debate for many years. 1961 (rep. 1977), 125pp.

RACES OF BRITAIN, THE (John Beddoe) £17.00. A reprint of a scholarly work on the Celts, Anglo-Saxons, Vikings and Normans, with information on related peoples of Europe. 1885 (rep. 1983), vi, 273pp.

RACE PROBLEMS AND HUMAN PROGRESS (W.C. George) £4.00. Shows how the racial egalitarian dogma is flawed, despite the influential forces promoting it. 1967, 80pp.

REVOLUTION FROM THE MIDDLE (Dr. Samuel Francis) £6.50. Series of incisive essays arguing that white patriots should adopt insurgent, rather than conservative, strategies to defend their culture and values. Although written for

Americans, this book contains many invaluable lessons, for British nationalists too. 1997, 250pp.

ROTTEN HEART OF EUROPE (Bernard Connolly) £17.50. A former official of the European Commission exposes the lies and deceit involved in the establishment of the ERM and the dangers involved in European monetary union and European federalism. 1995, xviii, 427pp.

STALIN'S WAR (Ernst Topich) £13.00. A revisionist view of the origins of World War II by an Austrian professor of philosophy, showing how Stalin incited the war hoping to seize Western Europe in the ensuing chaos. 1987, 152pp.

STANDBEARERS. £6.00. Subtitled *British Roots of the New Right*, a collection of essays on 20 political and cultural heroes for British nationalists. 1999, 176pp.

SURVIVAL OF BRITAIN, THE (Brian N. Monahan) £7.80. A series of articles from the *Social Creditor* dealing with political and economic threats to Britain's survival. 1971, viii, 124pp.

THE GRIP OF DEATH (Michael Rowbottom) £15.00. Fine study of modern money, debt slavery and destructive economics. 1998, 337pp.

THERE IS AN ALTERNATIVE (Brian Burkitt and others) £4.50. A cost-benefit analysis of British membership of the EU and a listing of the alternatives to membership. 1996, 111pp.

THEY WERE WHITE AND THEY WERE SLAVES (Michael A. Hoffman II) £8.50. Shows the true history of slavery, which demonstrates — contrary to current propaganda — that not only Blacks were the victims of the trade.

THIS AGE OF CONFLICT (Ivor Benson) £3.20. An exposure of the illegitimate power structures that exist in today's world. A glimpse 'behind the scenes' of international politics. 1987, 61pp.

TO RESTRAIN THE RED HORSE: THE URGENT NEED FOR RADICAL ECONOMIC REFORM (Alan D. Armstrong) £11.95. A businessman discusses the origins of our money system, its social and ecological consequences and proposals for its reform. 1996, 137pp.

TRAP, THE (Sir James Goldsmith) £7.99. Enigmatic businessman defends the concept of the nation-state and points out the perils of global free trade, particularly with the Far East. 1994, 216pp.

TREASON AT MAASTRICHT (Rodney Atkinson and Norris McWhirter) £3.50. A complete and detailed exposure of the illegal and unconstitutional action of the Tory Government in signing the Maastricht Treaty. 1994, 123pp.

TRUTH OUT OF AFRICA, THE (Ivor Benson) £4.40. Describes the effects of the 'Wind of Change' in Africa and the agencies responsible for the plight of that continent today. 3rd ed. 1995, xv, 92pp.

VENTILATIONS (Wilmot Robertson) £4.50. The sequel to the same author's widely acclaimed *The Dispossessed Majority*. Revised edition 1982, 113pp.

WALL STREET AND THE BOLSHEVIK REVOLUTION (Anthony C. Sutton) £6.80. The links between Wall Street finance and the Russian Revolution are proved beyond all question by an established scholar. 1974, 228pp.

WEALTH, VIRTUAL WEALTH AND DEBT (Frederick Soddy) £10.00. The author, a Nobel prize-winner in chemistry, points the way to a satisfactory and beneficial financial system. A long-established classic. 1926, rep. 1983, 320pp.

WAR AND THE BREED (David Jordan) £8.00. Fine reprinting of a remarkably far-seeing book, first published in 1915, which argued that the war then raging would do incalculable damage to the genetic make-up of Britain and Europe. Disturbing but fascinating. Hardback, 1981, 127pp.

ZIONIST CONNECTION, THE (Alfred Lilienthal) £19.00. Sensational and courageous exposé of Zionist power politics by a non-Zionist Jew. 1982, 904pp.


Tyndall in W. Midlands

JOHN TYNDALL was guest speaker at last month's meeting of Black Country BNP on June 24th. The meeting, chaired by Sharron Edwards, began with a local activities report by the organiser, husband Steve. Steve gave an interesting account of local reactions to a radio programme in which an interviewer had quizzed voters on their attitudes towards the BNP and had been taken aback by their favourable replies. Steve obtained 23.6 per cent of the poll when standing in the Tipton Green ward in Sandwell.

He was followed by South Staffs. organiser Neil Phillips, who outlined election plans for his branch. He won 7 per cent of the poll in the Western Springs ward of Cannock.

Finally, Mr. Tyndall spoke. He recalled the recent ceremony at which war veterans had commemorated the Dunkirk evacuation of 1940 and surveyed how far Britain had declined since that time. Back then, he said, the country was mobilising itself to resist an invasion. Now, he continued, we have suffered an invasion far more permanent in its effects. He went on to catalogue the lamentable performance of the political class which now condemns the BNP. The invasion

apart, he said, it has thrown away an empire; it has ruined British industry; it has reduced a once-united country to a chronically divided one, a country once law-abiding and safe to one racked by crime; in the schools it



John Tyndall at West Midlands meeting

has wholly failed to inculcate discipline or national pride.

The scenes of football hooliganism that have so dismayed millions of people, he said, were the result of a feminised culture trying to stifle the naturally aggressive instincts of young males instead of channelling them in socially useful directions.


The BNP was the final and only hope, Mr. Tyndall concluded. Addressing himself to the party's opponents, he said: "We're coming to take our country back — and you're not going to stop us!"

The Eleventh Hour

The Eleventh Hour has been described as the 'Bible' of the British National Party. First published in 1988, the latest (1998) edition has been updated to take in the many new developments on the political scene occurring since. Written by the founder of the British National Party John Tyndall, the book gives comprehensive coverage of all the major current issues affecting Britain. It delves into the ideologies of liberalism, conservatism and the left, and also examines that of British Nationalism and its background. It contains extensive analyses of the British economy and political system. It looks at issues connected with freedom and order, the mass media, the environment, race and immigration, Northern Ireland, the Commonwealth, foreign policy and defence. It also gives a detailed outline of the development of the BNP and its predecessor organisations in Britain.

The Eleventh Hour runs to 537 pages. It is partly autobiographical, giving an account of the author's origins, early political awakening and subsequent life's work.

Price: Hardback £25.00 plus £4.15 p&p; softback £17.50 plus £3.32 p&p. Please make out cheques to Albion Press and send to PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW.



The book you must read if you want to find out what John Tyndall and the BNP stand for

How to obtain *Spearhead*

Make sure of your regular copy of *Spearhead* by becoming a subscriber. Please fill in the form here and send it to us, together with payment, as directed. Please note also the rates for bulk-buyers.

NAME.....

ADDRESS.....

ENCLOSED SUBSCRIPTION OF.....

PLUS DONATION (IF ANY) OF.....

The following rates are for 12 issues (please tick box where applicable):-

British Isles £24.00 ☐; Overseas surface mail £26.00 ☐; Air mail Europe £28.00 ☐; Air mail elsewhere £34.00 ☐.

PLEASE NOTE: These overseas rates apply if remittance is in Pounds Sterling. If remittance is in any other currency, an additional charge of £4.50 is required in the case of cheques and money orders and £2.50 in the case of banknotes, these paying for banker's commission and charges.

Discounts for bulk purchases can be obtained as follows:-

10-19 copies £1.10 each; 20-49 copies £1.00 each; 50-99 copies 83p each; 100-199 copies 69p each; 200-499 copies 60p each; 500 copies 55p each. For advice on postal rates for these quantities please contact our office.

All cheques and postal or money orders should be made out to *Spearhead* and sent to PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW. Please do not include payment for *Spearhead* in a remittance which includes payment for other items.

Beaten by a whisker!

The British National Party came last month within a mere 21 votes of having another representative sitting on a public body. Under a new scheme launched by the Government called 'New Deal for Communities', committees are being set up in selected areas to determine allocations of money from government grants to communal projects. These are elected on a non-party basis. In other words, though the party affiliations of candidates may be known, they will not be stated in election literature. The latter is to consist of a single election address but, by law, no accompanying leaflets or canvassing are allowed.

Michael Davidson of the BNP, standing in the Woodlands sub-section of the West Ham & Plaistow area of East London, managed to obtain 115 votes. This put him narrowly into third place behind the two victorious candidates, who won 144 and 136 votes respectively. Michael's share of the vote was in fact 26 per cent, and this was obtained in the face of a vicious smear story in the *Daily Mirror* which attempted to 'expose' his BNP connections – which at no point had he ever tried to hide! In addition, local reds campaigning in the area put out leaflets referring to the BNP as the 'Nazi Bomber Party' – a reference to nail-bomber David Copeland, with whom the BNP has no connection and whose activities it has condemned unreservedly.

Congratulations to Michael on this excellent effort!

NORTH WEST REPORT

Following a very good election campaign in the BNP's North West Region, Organiser Chris Jackson was guest speaker at three recent branch meetings. The first was in Bolton, where plans were discussed to step up activities in the area and fight one of the Bolton seats in the general election.

The next was in Burnley, where Chris thanked the two recent candidates and the branch for excellent work in the last 12 months. Also present in Burnley was the Bolton Organiser, who donated £40 to Burnley Branch funds – an unselfish gesture that was appreciated by all.

The last meeting was in Oldham, where recent candidate Bill Lockett spoke about the need for more candidates and of his willingness to stand again (in May he obtained 9.7 per cent of the vote). Chris Jackson discussed plans to fight at least two seats of the three possible in Oldham and thanked everyone who had helped make Oldham one of the newly promoted branches.

The collection raised £118.00, with Bolton once again chipping in with £40.

RED-WHITE-BLUE SUMMER FESTIVAL

**SATURDAY 12TH -
SUNDAY 13TH
AUGUST 2000**

The biggest
patriotic
event in the
British
calendar

- Saturday is a Family Day, with a series of social events
- Sunday is a political day, with seminars, workshops, monster meeting

General Election strategy decided

Last month the British National Party announced its broad strategy for the next general election. The party will not, as in 1997, target to fight sufficient parliamentary seats to qualify for TV broadcasting time, but will concentrate its resources with the aim of contesting a more limited number of seats with strong campaigns and with a view to the very maximum effects in terms of votes.

This follows yet a further moving of the goalposts by the broadcasting authorities. Under the new rules, parties will have to field candidates in one-sixth the number of constituencies in England, Scotland, Wales and Northern Ireland separately to get on the air in each – a task which would impose an enormous strain on BNP resources, particularly financial ones, and could leave the party exhausted when it came to following up the election.

It was the view that the benefits of a TV broadcast, while undeniable, are subject to a law of diminishing returns. In the Euro

Election of 1999, the party profited far more from the vast numbers of leaflets distributed through the post than from being on TV. With this in mind, it has been decided to forego the latter facility and have a campaign throughout the UK which is within the party's means.

Though this change of strategy will be disappointing to some members, *Spearhead*, in fact, endorses it. The idea was actually mooted at a leadership conference last August in the aftermath of the Euro Election, though no firm decision on it was then made. Massive growth in membership and money resources would have been needed to have met the new TV qualification targets and it was impossible to predict these at the time. It seems to us sensible that the BNP adjust its election targets for the immediate future in accordance with what is possible. Also, the greater concentration of resources should make possible a much higher average vote – itself most important to recruitment.

SPEARHEAD SUPPORT FUND

Income from the sales of our magazine is not enough to cover full production and administrative costs. We therefore rely on regular donations from our supporters so that we may remain solvent.

Also, because of the controversial nature of the opinions expressed in these columns, it is impossible to induce wholesale distributors to handle the magazine. Hence our need for additional financial support.

Please send all contributions to *Spearhead*, c/o PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW. Please note that receipts are not normally sent for donations of less than £10 unless specifically requested – in which case an SAE would be much appreciated. Thanks.

SAVE OUR JOBS!

END OF LINE FOR DUNLOP WORKERS

By Neil Hodgson

UNIONS have reluctantly accepted the loss of 13 jobs and a 150-year-old manufacturing link with the city.

Dunlop, however, has started making Wellington boots and industrial shoes in Walton and switched manufacturing to a Portuguese sister site.

Dutch bosses blamed the strong pound which they handed an unfair advantage to foreign competition.

GMW union organiser George Patterson said he submitted a range of proposals to the Huddersfield Times during a month-long review of its future but said they finally ran out of options.

Cheaper

It accepted the fact that there was nothing more the company could do to retain a manufacturing base, he said.

"The company said people here in Wellington are cheaper than it would cost to produce at Walton, and that is not because of our people but because of the pound rate. Unfortunately, we don't look at the pound rate when something is made when they say they can't look at the price."

He said the union submitted a range of suggestions to try to cut costs and improve efficiency to retain production.

"We suggested bringing distribution back in-house to reduce costs to running one factory but the company decided to move elsewhere in the region of 10 months' stock to do what they needed to do was produce more Wellington boots."

Plant manager director John de Jong said the 13 manufacturing staff have been made redundant but 24 people will remain in sales, finance and engineering.

"Our sad but necessary decision means that the Dunlop brand ceases production in Liverpool after 150 years."

He said moving production to Portugal gave the company a better position to compete in the U.K.




● Sad day... factory closure ends 150 years of Dunlop production in Liverpool, says MD Johan de Jong

The headline reproduced on this page is very common in the British press these days. It tells us that yet another factory is closing down in Britain, with yet more jobs lost. The factory in this case is Dunlop's in Walton, Liverpool. Already foreign (Dutch)-owned, the factory's bosses have decided they can get better profits relocating to Portugal, where wages are much lower.

This is just another example of what's happening everywhere. Following a recent bout of factory and mill closures, the economy of East Lancashire is in an appalling shape. One of the worst calamities to hit the region is the loss of 550 jobs at the Leoni Wiring factory in Accrington. The local Mayoress, herself a worker at the factory, says the town is 'finished' if the closure goes ahead.

The plant, which makes electrical systems for Rover, is German-owned, and management has decided to shift production to cheap-labour sites in Rumania and Egypt, thus wrecking the livelihoods of Lancashire workers.

This sort of situation has become nauseatingly familiar over recent years. Most of what's left of our industry is in foreign ownership, and such firms are quick to quit Britain in search of greater profits from cheap labour in Eastern Europe, India and China.

Must this madness go on till we're a gutted industrial ruin? British workers should not be forced to compete against cheap labour overseas. They should receive *protection*.

But none of the established parties is prepared to adopt such measures. They are all committed to 'globalism'. The British National Party, by contrast, believes in protecting our workers by *nationalist* trade and investment restrictions. Why not write and find out more?

For more information on the
British National Party, write
and send 50p to:-

The Secretary
PO Box 287
Waltham Cross
Herts. EN8 8ZU
Tel. 07774 454893

Name.....

Address.....

I enclose donation of.....

You can make a photocopy of this page or use a plain piece of paper if you don't want to cut up your copy of *Spearhead*.